#### Evidence of Language Use: Progress Monitoring for English Learners in Multi-tiered Systems of Support

Part II: Targeting Progress Monitoring of Language Use for English Learners



#### **3-Part Webinar**

Evidence of Language Use: Progress Monitoring for English Learners in Multi-tiered Systems of Support

- Part I: Language Use and the State-Required Reclassification, Monitoring and Re-Designation of English Learners
- Part II: Targeting Progress Monitoring of Language Use for English Learners
- Part III: Connecting WIDA Tools to Collecting Evidence of Language Use

## Act 48 Requirements

You must attend all three webinars to be awarded ACT 48 credits. Please contact Marci Davis at <u>mdavis@pattan.net</u>, if you are participating as a group.

You must connect to the webinar online in order to receive Act 48 credits and complete the Survey Monkey provided at the end of this webinar.

Using only your phone to access the webinar will not be accepted.

## PaTTAN's Mission

The mission of the Pennsylvania Training and Technical Assistance Network (PaTTAN) is to support the efforts and initiatives of the Bureau of Special Education, and to build the capacity of local educational agencies to serve students who receive special education services.

PDE's Commitment to Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)

Recognizing that the placement decision is an Individualized Education Program (IEP) team decision, our goal for each child is to ensure IEP teams begin with the general education setting with the use of supplementary aids and services before considering a more restrictive environment.

Part 1: State-Required Reclassification, Monitoring and Re-Designation of English Learners

- This session will focus on the collection of evidence of language use and the interpretation and implementation of the PDE State-Required Reclassification, Monitoring and Re-Designation of English Learners (ELs) for the 2017-2018 school year.
- Participants will also examine how this document will impact instruction and assessment of English learners.

#### Part II: Targeting Progress Monitoring of Language Use for English Learners

This session will focus on effective progress monitoring strategies for English learners, as related to language use. Participants will be able to apply these best practices to their school context.

#### Outcomes

Participants will:

- identify characteristics of evidencebased progress monitoring implementation for English learners
- 2. examine evidence-based progress monitoring practices, as related to collecting evidence of language use

# NEW PDE *RECLASSIFICATION, MONITORING, AND REDESIGNATION OF ELS* – OCTOBER 1, 2017

Control of the second of the control of the second of

WWW.NCCREST.ORG 9

| S Proficiency Points<br>core Assign<br>3.6 | ed   |  |   |  |   |  |   |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|
|  | ed   |  |   |  |   |  |   |  |
|  |  |  |   |  |   |  |   |  |
| 45   | _  |  |   |  |   |  |   |  |
|  | -  |  |   |  |   |  |   |  |
|  | -  |  |   |  |   |  |   |  |
| 1.000                                      |  |  |   |  |   |  |   |  |
| juage Use Inventories                      |  |  |   |  |   |  |   |  |
|  |  |  |   |  |   |  |   |  |
|  |  |  |   |  |   |  |   |  |
|  | 0  | 0.3  | 0.5   | 0  | 0.3   | 0.5  |   |  |
| Speaking                                   | 0  | 0.3  | 0.5   | 0  | 0.3   | 0.5  |   |  |
| Reading                                    | 0  | 0.3  | 0.5   | 0  | 0.3   | 0.5  |   |  |
|  | 0  | 0.2  | 0.3   | 0  | 0.2   | 0.3  |   |  |
|  | a  | 82   | 0.3   | 0  | 0.2   | 0.3  |   |  |
|  |  |  |   |  |   |  |   |  |
|  | 0  | 6.2  | 0.3   | 9  | 0.2   | 0.3  |   |  |
| Essays                                     | 0  | 0.2  | 0.3   |  | 0.2   | 0.2  |   |  |
|  | 0  | 0.2  | 0.3   | 0  | 0.2   | 0.3  |   |  |
|  | Interaction<br>Listering<br>Speaking<br>Reading<br>Witting Conesion<br>Witting Conesion<br>Witting Genre - Narrative<br>Writting Genre - Report &<br>Estanys<br>Writting Genre - Arguments | 8.4      Use live livestores     Identification     Use livestores     Identification     Desting     Reading     Desting     Writing Centre - Arguments     Uniting | 8.4           Using Urie Investoria         ESI. Teach           Interaction         0         33           Lastering         0         33           Speaking         0         32           Weiting Urie Investorie         0         32           Weiting Urie Investorie         0         32           Weiting Urie Investorie         0         32           Weiting Comment Sterning         0         22           Weiting Generre - Namither         0         22           Weiting Generre - Argumentitio         0         32 | 8.4           Using Using Workfords         ESK Teacher<br>Lister           Infraction 0         6.03           Lassing 0         6.03           Speaking 0         6.03           Weiter geody         6.02           Weiter geody         6.02 | B.4           Use INvertificity         Est Teacher         Col           Interaction         0         0.3         0.5           Later IN Mommen         Interaction         0.0         0.0         0.0           Later IN Mommen         Interaction         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           Later IN Mommen         0.0 | B.4           Bigs Und Investories         ESS. Tescher<br>Lew Moderne higs Carl Moderne<br>bill Statut         Content Tesc<br>Statut           Under Migs Carl Moderne<br>Bearing         6.3         6.6         6.9           Listering         6.3         6.8         6.9           Weiting Carl Moderne<br>Mitrig Carl Moderne<br>Grammatic Statut         6.9         6.9         6.9           Weiting Carl Moderne<br>Mitrig Carl Moderne | B.4           Upp Urs Investor         Ess A           Interaction         00         0.3         0.6         0.0         0.0           Lasered         0         0.3         0.6         0.0         0.0           Lasered         0         0.3         0.6         0.0         0.5           Speaking         0         0.3         0.5         0.0         0.5           Writing Commercification         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.5         0.0         0.5           Writing Commercification         0.0         0.2         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           Writing Commercification         0.0         0.2         0.0 | B 4           Using Under Windows         ESS, Telecher<br>Low         Content Telecher<br>Low           Interaction         6         33         6.6         6.0         6.8           Lairenting         6.3         6.6         6.0         6.8         6.9         6.9         6.8         6.9         6.9         6.9         6.9         6.9         6.9         6.9         6.9         6.9         6.9         6.9         6.9 |



# **RECLASSIFICATION CRITERIA**

- The language use inventories must be completed prior to the release of ACCESS scores each year for students who, based on teacher input and previous ACCESS 2.0 scores, are likely to reach the threshold.
- Once ACCESS 2.0 scores are released, the points are added to the points from the rubrics to determine if students are eligible to be reclassified.

## **RECLASSIFICATION CRITERIA**

LEAs must develop local plans for how to:

- select content teachers who will complete the inventories
- manage the decision-making/reporting process using this procedure and these criteria
- train staff to use the rubrics and evaluate the students' language use
- hold teachers accountable for completing the inventories
- select students for whom inventories will be completed in anticipation of qualifying ACCESS 2.0 scores







#### MTSS is the FRAMEWORK; Rtl is the METHODOLOGY

#### What do we mean? Rtl is the METHODOLOGY?

Response to Intervention (RtI) is an array of procedures that can be used to determine if and how students respond to instruction and intervention. These methodologies help us answer the questions:

> How slow is slow? How low is low?

> > 15

## PA's Model also Endorses:

- <u>A continuum</u> of technically adequate data sources that converge, with heavy reliance upon <u>functional</u> <u>assessments</u> (sensitive to incremental growth)
- 2. A focus on variables within our control
- Academic and behavioral deficiencies = difference or "<u>gap</u>" between expected and actual performance (heavy reliance on <u>progress-</u> <u>monitoring data</u>)
- 4. An instruction and intervention diet <u>matched to</u> <u>need</u> using <u>Evidence-Based Practices (EBPs)</u>



# "ALL HANDS ON DECK"

General Educator Special Educator Reading Specialist/Title I School Psychologist Speech/Language Therapist School Counselor ESL Teacher

Para-Educator Principal







|                       | Within sociocultural contexts for processing language   |  |   |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
|                       | Discourse Dimension   | Sentence Dimension   | Word/Phrase Dimension   |  |  |  |
|                       | Linguistic Complexity   | Language Forms and Conventions   | Vocabulary Usage  |  |  |  |
|                       | Level 6 - Reaching L  | anguage that meets all saturals through Level 5, Bob   | dging   |  |  |  |
| At each               | h grade, toward the end of a given level of English la  | nguage peoficiency, and with instructional support.  | English language learners will process  |  |  |  |
| Level 5<br>Bridging   | Bich descriptive discusses with complex<br>sentences     Columbre and organized related idea. | <ul> <li>Compound, complex grammatical<br/>constructions (e.g., multiple phrases and<br/>classes)</li> <li>A broad range of sentence parterns<br/>characteristic of particular content sens</li> </ul> | Technical and alternate control-area<br>language     Which and expressions with shades of<br>meaning across content area                            |  |  |  |
| Level 4<br>Expanding  | Consected discourse with a variety of<br>semences     Expanded related ideas                  | A variety of complex grammatical<br>constructions     Semence particular<br>comment areas  | <ul> <li>Specific and some rechnical commission<br/>language</li> <li>Words or expressions with multiple<br/>meanings across commutation</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
| Level 3<br>Developing | Discourse with a series of extended sentences     Relayed ideas                               | Compound and some complex (e.g., must<br>phram, with phram, prepositional phram)<br>grammatical communities     Semence partners across content amo  | Specific content language, including<br>expression     Work and expressions with contanen<br>collocations and idents action content area            |  |  |  |
| Level 2<br>Emerging   | Multiple related simple retenoor     An idea with details                                     | Compound grammatical commutions     Repetitive physical and sensence parterns     arrow commutiants  | General connext words and expressions,<br>including organize     Social and instructional words and<br>expressions across context area              |  |  |  |
| Level 1<br>Entering   | Single statements or questions     An idea within words, phrases, or chunks of language       | <ul> <li>Simple grammatical constructions (e.g.,<br/>commands, Wio-questions, declaratives)</li> <li>Common social and instructional forms and<br/>patterns</li> </ul>                                 | General common-related words     Everyday social and immunional words and expressions   |  |  |  |







#### Best Practices for English Learners



Educators must be properly trained to select and implement evidence-based interventions.

Educators need support to properly implement evidence-based interventions.

MTSS teams must include representation from all appropriate educators (e.g., ESL teachers, general class teachers and special education teachers).

Select evidence-based practices proven to work with second language learners.

Data related to students' progress must include language development data such as ACCESS 2.0 scores, language use data, and WIDA MODEL data.

# Intensify Instructional Delivery

The process includes:

- Making instruction more explicit.
- Making instruction more systematic.
- Incorporating more opportunities for student response and feedback.

Murray, Coleman, & Vaughn, 2012

#### Examples of Evidence-Based Practices for Phonemic Awareness (K-1)

- Dr. Michael Heggerty's "Phonemic Awareness: The skills that they need to help them succeed"; extrastrength Tylenol to Penicillin (can be done K-2 – comprehensive Tier 1 option)
- PATR Phonological Awareness Training for Reading (Torgesen) – Small Group, 4-5 days per week, 15-20 min., supplemental intervention, limited training required, extra-strength Tylenol (can be done with older students)
- David Kilpatrick's "Equipped for Reading Success: A Comprehensive Step by Step Program for Developing Phonemic Awareness and Fluent Word Recognition" (Tiers 1, 2 and 3) extra-strength Tylenol

#### Examples of Evidence-Based Practices for Phonemic Awareness (K-1)

- Road to the Code (Tier 2; Penicillin)
- Interactive Strategies Approach (Scanlon et al)
- K-PALS Kindergarten PALS used as part of core reading instruction in Kindergarten by classroom teacher 2-3 days per week from fall to winter – kids get into pairs and use a game like approach to master phonemic awareness skills; extra-strength Tylenol
- Dr. Virginia Berninger's "Talking Letters Program"; Penicillin
- 95% Group PA Routine Cards; extra-strength Tylenol

Examples of Evidence-Based Practices for Phonics Some w/Advanced PA Component

- Phonics and Spelling through Phoneme-Grapheme Mapping (extra- strength Tylenol-Penicillin)
- Phonics for Reading (PFR Levels 1, 2 and 3) (Penicillin)
- Saxon Phonics and Spelling (Core and Supplemental) (Penicillin)
- Teacher-Directed PALS (Berninger)
- Orton Gillingham and Sonday System (Penicillin-Neurosurgery)
- PhonoGraphix (Neurosurgery)
- LIPS (Neurosurgery)
- Project Read, Fundations, Wilson (extra-strength Tylenol)

26

Examples of Evidence-Based Practices for Phonics Some w/Advanced PA Component

Project Read, Fundations, Wilson

LANGUAGE! Live (SOPRIS for literacy and mathematics)

Step Up To Writing (SOPRIS)

LANGUAGE! 4th Edition (SOPRIS)

Passport Reading Journeys (SOPRIS)

# Examples of Fluency Evidence-Based Practices

- Repeated Reading Strategy <u>http://www.readingresource.net/readingf</u> <u>luency.html</u>
- REWARDS
- Read Naturally
- Six-Minute Solution
- Peer Assisted Literacy Strategy (PALS) <u>http://vkc.mc.vanderbilt.edu/pals/</u>



# Strategic Adolescent Reading Intervention

STARI (Strategic Adolescent Reading Intervention) - free http://stari.serpmedia.org/team.html



# Oral Language/Vocabulary Evidence-Based

- RAVE-O
   Http://www.voyagersopris.com/curriculum/subject/literacy/rave-o/overview
- Elements of Reading Vocabulary (ERV)
- Act it Out (BOV, 2007)
- Loop Writer
- Golden 20 Prefixes (BOV, 2007)
- Antonyms (BOV, 2007)
- Language Links (Wilson and Fox, 2007)
- Line Up Like a Sentence (Funnel to Phonics, 2003)
- Associated Vocabulary (Davies, 2007)

## Oral Language/Vocabulary Evidence-Based Secondary Practices

- •REWARDS (SOPRIS)
- •Elements of Reading Vocabulary (ERV)
- •Golden 20 Prefixes (BOV, 2007)
- Antonyms (BOV, 2007)
- Language Links (Wilson and Fox, 2007)
- Associated Vocabulary (Davies, 2007)

# 3 Key Indicators that Predict Student Success 1. Attendance 2. Academics 3. Behavior

|   | gradı  |   |                   |                                     |          |
|---|--|---|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|
| EWS Metrics<br>Attendance                         | Description<br>Daily Attendance<br>Rate  | Calculation<br>(Number of days student<br>was in attendance during<br>current school year/number<br>of school days during<br>current school year) * 100 | Green<br>> 90%    | Yellow<br>Between<br>80% and<br>90% | 80<br>80 |
| Behavior<br>(School Code<br>of Conduct)           | Code of Conduct  | Count of Incidents where<br>Infraction Category is<br>School Code of Conduct<br>violation   | 0 <= Count<br><=3 | 4 <= Count<br><=5                   | > 5      |
| Behavior<br>(State<br>Reportable<br>Offenses)     | Number of State<br>Reportable<br>Offenses during the<br>current school year.   | Count of Incidents where<br>Infraction Category is State<br>Reportable Offense  | 0                 | -                                   | > 0      |
| Course<br>Grades Math                             | Math Course<br>Grade for the most<br>recent grading<br>period                  | Numeric course grade<br>value   | > 70              | Between 60<br>and 70                | < 60     |
| Course<br>Grades<br>English /<br>Language<br>Arts | English/Language<br>Arts Course Grade<br>for the most recent<br>grading period | Numeric course grade<br>value   | > 70              | Between 60<br>and 70                | < 60     |

| <br> |
|------|
|      |
| <br> |
|      |
|      |

# English Learners Succeed When They:

- are perceived and treated as capable, legitimate participants.
- engage in intellectually demanding interactions that have been deliberately crafted and scaffolded.
- engage in high-challenge, high-support tasks that provide them with multiple points of entry into the academic community.



(Aida Walqui)

35

36

# Why Progress-Monitor? a.k.a. the Toothpick Test?















#### Grade Level Problem-Solving Team

For all students Meet within one week after 1.

- universal screenings. 2 Follow a structured meeting
- protocol.
- Analyze grade level student data 3 Set measurable grade-wide 4. goals (e.g., By the second benchmark, 75% of 2<sup>nd</sup> graders will be at benchmark in Oral Reading Fluency).
- Select and implement research-based, grade-wide strategies to 5. reach this goal.
- Monitor and adjust selected 6. strategies.

- Additional for English Learners Meet within one week after
- universal screenings. Follow a structured meeting
- protocol. Include language development
- data and WIDA Performance Definitions. 4.
- Include language development benchmarks in collaboration with ESL teacher.
- Include strategies to teach ELs literacy and mathematics. 5.
- Include ESL teachers in the 6. 40 discussion.

#### Grade Level Goal-Setting

#### **Team Identifies Current Performance of** Grade Level

- · Determine % at risk
- Determine % some risk •
- Determine % low risk
- Include in the conversation the level of English language proficiency and the WIDA Performance Definitions.

- Team Sets Measurable Goal
- · Create a brief statement describing expected attainments of group;
- Set a deadline or target date;
- State goal as "% of students making 'x' progress toward • identified benchmark".
- Create language development goal(s) in collaboration with the  $_{\rm 4I}$  ESL teacher.

#### Grade Level Goal-Setting

#### Team selects instructional strategies and interventions:

- Brainstorm specific ideas for teaching to the target skill
- Focus on evidence-based strategies

Include effective strategies to teach reading, writing and mathematics to ELs.

## Case Study – Dora



## Dora and Tier 1

- Dora is a second generation Puerto Rican student, born in Philadelphia, PA.
- She attended Head Start for one year, where she had some instruction in Spanish.
- She attended a bilingual kindergarten before moving to a school with an ELD-only model (no Spanish instruction) at the beginning of first grade.
- In this English-only program, she received ELD pull-out instruction in grade 1.
- Her ACCESS 2.0 composite score indicates she is a level 3 (Developing) in English language proficiency. She scored 4.0 in oral language and 2.3 in literacy development.
- While the ACCESS scores show a high score in oral English language proficiency, she remains language dominant in Spanish, since that is the language of the home. Parents read to her in Spanish.

#### Individual Student Example (Dora)

- 2nd Grade; no IEP
- 2<sup>nd</sup> grade AIMSweb Reading CBM is at the 3<sup>rd</sup> percentile
- Teacher reports that Dora struggles with reading in areas of short and long vowels, consonant blends, and digraphs

ELD Data:

ACCESS 2.0 composite score indicates she is a level 3 (Developing) in English language proficiency. She scored 4.0 in oral language and 2.3 in literacy development. Data:

- Star Reading- 9th percentile
- Core Phonics:
  - Short vowels CVC words- 11/15
    Consonant sounds- 18/21

  - Consonant blends with short vowels- 9/15
  - R-controlled vowels-6/15 - Long vowel spellings- 0/15

45

#### Dora

• Survey Level Assessment:

CBM scored for ORF administered

- 2<sup>nd</sup> grade: 8 wcpm, 10 errors, 3<sup>rd</sup> percentile
  1<sup>st</sup> grade: 12 wcpm, 7 errors, 47<sup>th</sup> percentile

46

CBM scored for NWF administered in early September

- 2<sup>nd</sup> grade: NWF 32 CLS, 5 WWR, 16<sup>th</sup> percentile
- Spring 2<sup>nd</sup> Grade Goal = 42 WCPM, with 95% accuracy within 12 calendar weeks

#### Intervention Plan - Dora

Reading and Language Development Intervention Plan and Actions Taken

| What  | By Whom                             | Frequency/Duration   |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| Tier 1 Interventions<br>Core instruction:<br>Reading Street Comprehensive Building Blocks<br>Small Group Instruction: decodable skills<br>Text based on phonics skills in small-group<br>instruction using manipulatives, phoneme-<br>grapheme mapping<br>LD instruction:<br>Using sentence stems, lcit oral responses<br>Review specific vocabulary related to <i>Reading</i><br>Street Comprehensive Building Blocks' current<br>lesson<br>Collect data regarding Dora's language use (oral<br>and written) | Classroom<br>Teacher<br>ESL Teacher | 130 minutes daily,<br>including 15 minutes of<br>small group intervention<br>and ELD instruction with<br>15 minutes of ELD<br>intervention |

| Intervention Plan -<br>Reason for Intervention: Reading<br>Teacher: Classroom Teacher  | 5   |   |
|--|---|---|
| Tier 1<br>Small group instruction on letter sounds and<br>phoneme/grapheme mapping using flashcards, review<br>vocabulary and phoneme/grapheme mapping<br>Collect evidence of language use during ELD instruction<br>using WIDA Speaking and Writing Rubrics | Classroom Teacher and<br>ESL Teacher<br>ESL Teacher | 3 times a week during<br>the Literacy Block<br>3 times a week during<br>ELD instruction<br>2 times a week during<br>ELD instruction |
| Motivation Plan: Sufficiently motivated  |   |   |
| Family support: After collecting evidence of learning, the<br>problem-solving team will schedule a meeting with the<br>parents to discuss Dora's literacy goals.   |   |   |
| Measurement of Progress: AIMSweb: Oral Reading Fluency<br>and NWF  | Classroom teacher                                   | 4 times a year  |
| How will we ensure instructional fidelity?   | Building /District MTSS<br>Coordinator              | Measured by a team decision 48  |



## **Tier 1 Observations**

Classroom Teacher: "Dora receives core instruction for our English Language Arts and Reading block. She is motivated to participate during our phonics lessons. She is able to follow along better when we are working with base words and their spelling patterns. She struggles to understand how to add endings to base words. Dora is given a spelling list with short vowel sounds and sight words. She can identify 3/6 words correctly on the weekly spelling tests. She is able to read, write, and spell easily-decodable words up to four letters. When I am reading stories aloud, Dora is able to answer some simple comprehension questions based on what we have read. She does not always give appropriate responses to questions. Her progress is slower than the progress of other ELs in our class."

ESL Teacher: "Dora is practicing her sight words three times a week using pictures and text in the ELD classroom. Dora is progressing at the same rate as likepeers and is closing the gap in vocabulary development and oracy. She struggles with phoneme/grapheme mapping with 4/8 correct answers. She is also behind in sight word recognition as compared to other ELs in her class."

"The evidence of language use collected indicates that Dora is using short expanded and some complex sentences describing familiar topics with some repetitive grammatical structures and including some specific and some technical content-area language."

#### Moving Forward: Questions to Consider

- Exit from plan?
- · Continue plan and progress monitor?
- Increase/change plan intensity and progress monitoring - What changes would you make?
- How would content teachers provide evidence of language use?
- What are her strengths and weaknesses? What are her?
- What other assessments might you recommend?
- Other?

# Juan and Tier I



Juan was born in the U.S.

• Enrolled in U.S. schools in kindergarten.

 In 4<sup>th</sup> grade, he and his family moved to the Dominican Republic. Juan returned to the US when he was in 8<sup>th</sup> grade. He is currently in 9<sup>th</sup> grade.

# Juan and Tier I

Bicultural: experienced the acculturation process in two countries.

Received all instruction in Spanish for four years. Received no instruction in English for four years, while in the Dominican Republic.

Current ACCESS test scores:

Level 4.0 Listening Level 4. 4 Speaking

Level 2.5 Reading

Level 2.1 Writing

# Juan and Tier I



53

Behavior:

Well-accepted by both Spanish and English-

speaking peers

Good rapport with teachers

Attendance: no issue

Academics: failing grades in ELA and Social Studies

# Juan and Tier I

Academic literacy – How can we support his reading and writing challenges in the content areas? How can we harness listening and speaking domains (strengths) to support the literacy demands?

ELA teacher focuses on academic vocabulary.

ESL teacher focuses on language forms that support grade-level reading and writing.

- Encourage more technical vocabulary and more
- complex grammatical structures.

# Intervention Plan - Juan

Literacy and Language Development Intervention Plan and Actions Taken

| What  | By Whom   | Frequency/Duration   |
|---|---|--|
| Tier 1 Interventions<br>Core instruction:<br>• Explicit vocabulary instruction using graphic<br>organizers and Word Generation<br>• Small Group Instruction focusing on oral and<br>written tasks<br>• Scaffolding techniques (sentence frames for<br>both oral and written tasks)<br>ED instruction: | ELA Teacher<br>and Social<br>Studies<br>Teacher | 45 minutes daily,<br>including 15 minutes for<br>interventions, three<br>times a week                    |
| <ul> <li>Using sentence stems, elicit oral and written<br/>responses</li> <li>Review specific vocabulary related to ELA and<br/>Social Studies current lesson</li> <li>Collect data regarding Juan's language use (oral<br/>and written)</li> </ul>   | ESL Teacher                                     | ELD instruction 45<br>minutes daily, including<br>15 minutes for<br>interventions, three<br>times a week |

| Intervention Plan -<br>Reason for Intervention: Reading<br>Teachers: ELA, Social Studies, and   | -   |   |
|---|---|---|
| Tier 1<br>Small group instruction on academic and technical<br>wocabulary related to ELA and Social Studies lessons;<br>Emphasis on reading comprehension and writing<br>(informational);<br>Collect evidence of language use during ELD instruction<br>using WIDA Speaking and Writing Interpretive Rubrics and<br>WIDA Performance Definitions. | ELA and Social Studies<br>Teachers<br>ESL Teacher | 2 times a week during the<br>ELA or Social Studies<br>period (to be determined<br>by teachers)<br>3 times a week during ELD<br>instruction<br>Bi-weekly during ELD<br>instruction |
| Motivation Plan: Sufficiently motivated   |   |   |
| Family support: After collecting evidence of learning, the<br>problem-solving team will schedule a meeting with the<br>parents to discuss Juan's literacy goals.  |   |   |
| Measurement of Progress: curriculum-based assessment  | ELA and Social Studies<br>teachers                | TBD by teachers<br>(minimum bi-weekly)  |
| How will we ensure instructional fidelity?  | Building /District MTSS<br>Coordinator            | Measured by a team<br>decision 57   |



#### Juan



Spring 9<sup>th</sup> Grade Goal

Juan will be able to read, understand, and respond to grade-level text

Evidence:

**Process (Reading)** 3 out of 4 responses correctly with expanded related ideas using a variety of complex grammatical constructions, including specific and some technical content-area vocabulary.

**Produce (Writing)** 3 out of 4 responses correctly with short and some expanded sentences with emerging complexity using a variety of grammatical structures, including specific and some technical content-area vocabulary.

## Moving Forward: Questions to Consider

- Exit from plan?
- Continue plan and progress monitor?
- Increase/change plan intensity and progress monitoring - What changes would you make?
- How would content teachers provide evidence of language use?
- What are his strengths and weaknesses?
- What other assessments might you recommend?
- Other?

What is the relationship between progress-monitoring data and evidence of language use?

The following rubrics "should be used to evaluate a student's use of language. The evaluation must consist of <u>multiple</u> <u>observations</u>."

PDE Reclassification Criteria, p.13

#### Grades: 4-12 Rubric 1 - Interaction, Listening, Speaking, and Reading Language Use Inventory

#### Speaking

| LOW (0)  | MODERATE (.3)   | HIGH (.5)  | Value |
|--|---|--|-------|
| Can use a series of connected<br>phrases and short, simple sentences<br>to talk in simple terms about familiar<br>topics.  |   |  |       |
| Reading  |   |  |       |
| LOW (0)  | MODERATE (.3)   | HIGH (.5)  | Value |
| Can read very short, simple texts<br>and find specific, predictable<br>information in everyday materials<br>(such as advertisements, letters,<br>schedulee, and menus) | Can understand texts with a familiar<br>organization that include high frequency<br>content-specific language. Begins to<br>understand some idomatic expressions<br>words/bir/asses with multiple meanings. | Can understand long and<br>complex fiction and non-<br>fiction texts on unfamiliar<br>and topics, appreciating<br>distinctions of style. |       |

| Inventory   |                                |  |  |      |  |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|------|--|
| Expansion of Repertoir<br>LOW (0)   | res: Coh                       | MODERATE (.2)  | HIGH (.3)  |      |  |
| Can link groups of words<br>with simple connectors like<br>"and," "but," and "because."   | a conne<br>Uses si<br>relation | ncted, linear sequence of points,<br>milar language to describe different<br>ships between ideas (such as<br>c, causal, sequential, comparative, or  | Can produce clear, smoothly flowing,<br>well-structured speech, showing<br>controlled use of a range of<br>organizational patterns, connectors,<br>and cohesive devices. |      |  |
| Accuracy: Word/ Phras<br>LOW (0)  | e                              | MODERATE (.2)  | HIGH (.3)  | Valu |  |
| Can use basic sentence path<br>with memorized phrases, gro<br>few words, and formulae in o<br>communicated limited inform<br>familiar situations. | ups of a<br>rder to            | Can use more varied vocabulary that<br>extends beyond the everyday to<br>include some content-specific<br>vocabulary. Can express him/ hersell<br>with some hesitation and<br>circum/socitions on familiar topics. | Can strategically select language<br>to express him/ herself clearly in<br>an appropriate style on a wide  |      |  |

Families receive ongoing and precise information regarding:



- their child's needs.
- a description of their child's intervention and who is delivering the intervention.
- clearly stated intervention goals and academic progress.
- the amount of time spent in each tier to determine whether the intervention is working.
- the right to request a special education evaluation at any time.
- assigned advocate to assist families with development of questions and contributions to team process and strategies.
   Information must be shared in the families' dominant language.

# English Learners Succeed When They:

- are perceived and treated as capable, legitimate participants.
- engage in intellectually demanding interactions that have been deliberately crafted and scaffolded.
- engage in high-challenge, high-support tasks that provide them with multiple points of entry into the academic community.

(Aida Walqui)



# In Conclusion

Concepts addressed today:

- characteristics of evidence-based progress monitoring implementation for English learners
- 2. evidence-based progress monitoring practices, as related to collecting evidence of language use

#### Upcoming Webinar Part III February 14, 2018 2:00 — 3:30 PM

- The WIDA Speaking and Writing Interpretive Rubrics and WIDA Can Do Key Uses, as related to collection of evidence of language use;
- 2. The WIDA Tools during development of progress monitoring;
- 3. Explore additional sources to connect language development at different English language proficiency levels.

#### Resources

Pennsylvania Department of Education (October 2017) Reclassification criteria

http://www.education.pa.gov/Teachers%20-%20Administrators/Curriculum/English%20As%20A%20Second%2 OLanguage/Pages/Reclassification-and-Exit-Criteria.aspx#tab-1

Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFR)

EN.pdf

pe.int/t/dg4/linguistic/Source/Framewo Cook, G., Boals, T., & Lundberg, T. (2011, November). Academic achievement for English learners: What can we reasonably expect? Kappan, 93(3), 66-69. Retrieved from https://www.wida.us/cet.aspx?id=485

Fairfax Public Schools Seeking Instructional Solutions for English Language Learners Effective Practices in Implementing RTI<sup>2</sup> for English Learners

http://www.doe.virainia.gov/federal\_programs/esea/tech\_assistan ce\_academy/2015/Seeking-Instructional-Solutions-for-English-Language-Learners.pdf

#### Resources

- Kim, J. & Herman, J. L. (2012). Understanding patterns and precursors of ELL success subsequent to reclassification (CRESST Report 818). Los Angeles, CA: UCLA, Graduate School of Education and Information Studies; CRESST. Retrieved from http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED540604.pdf
- Linquanti, R., & Cook, H. G. (2013). Toward a "common definition of English learner": Guidance for states and state assessment consortia in defining and addressing policy and technical issues and options. Washington, DC: Council of Chief State School Officers. Retrieved from
- http://ww sso.org/Documents/2013/Toward a Common Definition 2013.pdf Linquanti, R. (2001). The redesignation dilemma: Challenges and choices in fostering meaningful accountability for English learners (Policy Report 2001-1). Santa Barbara, CA: University of California Linguistic Minority Research Institute. Retrieved from
- https://www.wested.org/online pubs/redesignation.pdf NCELA Sample Digital Monitoring Systems
- http://nce a.ed.gov/files/forms/digital progress monitoring.pdf
- Sainz de la Peña, A., Zucker, P. and Cochron, C. (2014) Monitoring ELLs' Progress in ESL Instruction in Multi-Tiered Systems of Support http://www.pattan.net/Videos/Browse/Single/?code name=monitoring ells progress
- in esl instruct
- West Virginia Connections. (2011) Progress Monitoring Challenges/Appropriate Responses http://wvconnections.k12.wv.us/documents/RTIELLsFAQForm013111.pdf

#### Resources

- U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights. (2014, October). Dear colleague letter: Resource comparability. (Guidance to ensure all students have equal access to educational resources.) Retrieved from
- https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/letters/colleagueresourcecomp-201410.pdf
- US Department of Education EL Toolkit Chapter 8 Tools and Resources for Monitoring and Exiting English Learners from El **Programs and Services**
- https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oela/english-learnertoolkit/chap8.pdf
- U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, and U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ). (2015, January). Dear colleague letter: English learner students and limited English proficient parents. Retrieved from

https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/letters/colleague-el-201501.pdf

#### Resources

- Western Oregon University, The Teaching Research Institute, Education Evaluation Center. (2015). 2015 Special Education Assessment Process for Culturally and Linguistically Diverse (CLD) Students (Rev. ed.). Salem, OR: Oregon Department of Education, Office of Student Learning & Partnerships. Retrieved from http://www.oregon.gov/ode/students-andfamily/SpecialEducation/publications/Pages/default.aspx
- Wolf, M. K., Herman, J. L., Bachman, L. F., Bailey, A. L., & Griffin, N. (2008). Issues in assessing English language learners: English language proficiency measures and accommodation uses—Literature review, Part 1 of 3 (CRESST Report 731). Los Angeles, CA: UCLA, Graduate School of Education and Information Studies, CRESST. Retrieved from http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED502283.pdf

#### Resources

Zantal-Wiener, K. (2015). Content monitoring form for English learners or former English learners. Silver Spring, MD: National Clearinghouse for English Language Acquisition (NCELA). Retrieved from <u>http://ncela.ed.gov/files/forms/content\_monitoring\_form.pdf</u>



