

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

OFFICE OF SPECIAL EDUCATION AND REHABILITATIVE SERVICES

October 10, 2001

Honorable Curt Weldon U.S. House of Representatives 2452 Rayburn HOB Washington, DC 20515-3807

Dear Congressman Weldon:

Your letter to Secretary of Education Roderick R. Paige has been referred to the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) for response. OSEP administers the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), as amended by the IDEA Amendments of 1997.

This response concerns your constituent, Dr. Terry Mancini, who is Superintendent of Schools of the Upper Merion Area School District in King of Prussia, Pennsylvania. Dr. Mancini requests clarification of whether recent changes to the procedures and guidelines of administering the Pennsylvania System of School Assessment (PSSA) test to students receiving special education in grades 5, 8, and 11 is a change in procedures resulting "from the federal government's request to test all students or risk loss of funding." Further, Dr. Mancini states that in Pennsylvania there is no range of assessment options available that may be more appropriate for students with disabilities, which is individually determined by each student's Individualized Education Program (IEP) team. The only allowable alternate assessment to the PSSA, Dr. Mancini states, is a single alternate assessment that may be used solely for those students with significant cognitive disabilities.

The requirements for including children with disabilities in assessments are based on a number of federal laws, including Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and most notably the IDEA and Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act. These laws recognize that an assessment is often connected to student benefits such as moving to the next grade or graduating. Assessment is also an important factor in school accountability as well as individual benefits provided to children. Excluding children with disabilities from assessments may violate these Federal laws. To ensure equal participation of students with disabilities in such assessments, appropriate accommodations and modifications in the administration of assessments, on a case-by-case basis, may be necessary.

Alternate assessments are designed for those students with disabilities who are unable to participate in State or district-wide assessments even when accommodations or modifications are provided. Alternate assessments need to be aligned with the general curriculum standards set for all students and should not be assumed appropriate only for those students with significant

Page 2 - Honorable Curt Weldon

cognitive impairments. Under the IDEA, States and local education agencies must develop guidelines for the participation of students with disabilities in alternate assessments, and must provide alternate assessments for all State and district-wide assessments conducted beginning no later than July 1, 2000.

IDEA defines a key role for the IEP team. This team determines if any individual modifications in administration are needed in order for the student to participate in a State or district-wide assessment. And, if it determines that the child will not participate in a particular State or district-wide assessment of student achievement (or part of an assessment), the IEP team states why the assessment is not appropriate for the child and how the child will be assessed.

Under the IDEA, States must report on the number of students with disabilities participating in regular and alternate assessments, and on the performance of these students on both types of assessment. In addition, under Title I, students with disabilities must be included in State assessment systems, and their scores must be included in these systems for purposes of public reporting and school and district accountability. Under Title I, States must explain how scores from alternate assessments are integrated into their accountability systems.

Neither the IDEA nor Title I specifies or limits the number of alternate assessments a State or local school district must or can provide. Alternate assessment(s) should, at a minimum, assess the broad content areas assessed in State or district-wide assessments and should match in purpose the assessments for which they are intended to serve as alternates. But the number of alternate assessments is a State or local decision.

A number of important considerations apply as States develop their guidelines for participation in alternate assessments, provide those assessments, and use the results of alternate assessments in reports and accountability. One is that the authority of the IEP team should be preserved, and steps should be taken to provide those teams with the resources and training they need to implement these provisions in a meaningful way. Another is that the assessment options available to IEP teams should be compatible, to the greatest possible degree, with systems of reporting and accountability so that all students with disabilities are represented in these systems.

We realize that States are faced with a number of challenges in implementing the IDEA provisions related to alternate assessments, and we have tried to support states in several ways. For example, we fund the National Center on Educational Outcomes (NCEO) at the University of Minnesota that conducts research and analyzes policy on assessment issues, and provides information and technical assistance to States. NCEO has a website at http://www.coled.umn.edu/nceo which offers a number of resources related to alternate assessment and other topics. NCEO, our Federal and Regional Resource Centers, the Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO), and the National Association of State Directors of Special Education (NASDSE) have conducted a series of annual conferences for State teams focusing on alternate assessments. Pennsylvania was represented at the conferences. NCEO and CCSSO have organized a State Collaborative on Assessment and Student Standards (SCASS) focusing on the assessment of students with disabilities, including alternate assessments. This SCASS

Page 3 - Honorable Curt Weldon

provides an opportunity for ongoing communication and collaboration between states, and alternate assessment has been one of the ongoing topics. Finally, under our research and model demonstration programs, we have funded a number of projects on the participation of students with disabilities in assessments, including some on alternate assessments.

We hope this information is helpful to you and your constituent.

Sincerely,

Patricia J. Guard Acting Director

Office of Special Education Programs

Patricial bund