Identifying and Treating Child Language Disorders **WITHIN** a Child's Dialect in Dialectally Diverse Communities





SUPPORTING STUDIES OF DEVELOPMENT, DISORDERS, DIALECTS, & DISPARITIES

LSU Department of Linguistics

Terminology

Dialects by Category

Mainstream (MAE) Nonmainstream (NMAE)

Dialects by Name
General American English (GAE)
African American English (AAE)
Southern White English – rural (SWE)
Cajun/Creole English (CE)
Spanish-Influenced English (SE)
AAE with Gullah/Geechee Influence (AAE-Gullah/Geechee)



Baton Rouge, New Orleans, Pierre Part, River Parishes Philadelphia, Pittsburgh (Pittsburghese), Rural Pennsylvania (Pennsyltucky)

Oetting, 2020; https://leader.pubs.asha.org/do/10.1044/leader.FMP.25112020.12/full/

Terminology

Schools: Speech and Language Impaired

Research:

Specific Language Impairment Developmental Language Disorder Primary Language Impairment

Today's Talk:

Language Impaired (LI) Typically Developing (TD)









Terminology

Classification Accuracy: How well our tools classify the clinical status of children.

Se = Sensitivity

% of children with LI who were classified as LI

Se = .53

> 53% of the LI scored at or below the cut score

Sp = Specificity


% of children with TD who were classified as TD

Sp = .98


98% of the TD scored above the cut score




This framework is cross-linguistic and allows you to test and treat the child's entire language system.



Today's Talk





4 changes to reduce possible microaggressions related to children's

Determining a Child's Dialect

Blinded listener judgments of 1-min. of conversation

Diagnostic Evaluation of Language Variation Screener – Dialect Subtest (DELV-ST)



DELV-ST

Degree of Language Variation (15 items)

Some variation from MAE Strong variation from MAE

Degree of Risk for Language Disorder (17 items)

Lowest risk for disorder Low to medium risk for disorder Medium to high risk for disorder Highest risk for disorder



Seymour et al., 2003; https://www.ventrislearning.com/delv/

DELV-ST-Dialect Subtest

Child repeats sentences: I see a smooth table.


Smoove -> nonmainstream Smooth -> mainstream



DELV-ST-Dialect Subtest

I see short tails. I see a long tail. The dogs have short tails, but the cat.....

Have -> nonmainstream Has -> mainstream



Nonmainstream Form Density

He don't have it.
He gonna play.
I ain't doing it.
I want it!

He don't have it.
He is gonna play.
I'm not doing it.
I want it!

¾ = 75%

1/4 = 25%

First Clinical Tool: Nonword Repetiti	or
---------------------------------------	----

16 nonwords: They are nonwords to ALL children across ALL dialects

4 words at each length (1, 2, 3, 4 syllables) Phonemes do not include the "late eight"

Score as Percent Phonemes Correct: omissions and phoneme substitutions are errors, but additions and distortions are ignored.

Multiple studies and a meta-analysis support the use of nonword repetition to identify children with LI in mainstream dialects of languages

Dollaghan & Campbell, 1998; McDonald & Oetting, 2019; Oetting et al., 2008; Rodekohr & Haynes, 2001

Participants

	LI (n	= 53)	TD (n	TD (n = 53)		
	AAE (n = 35)	SWE (n = 18)	AAE (n = 35)	SWE (n = 18)		
Maternal Ed.	11.67 (2.27)	12.33 (2.90)	13.27 (2.63)	13.17 (3.05)		
PTONI	93.69 (9.60)	96.50 (8.35)	98.09 (8.90)	98.28 (8.14)		
GFTA-2	104.49 (5.72)	107.00 (4.38)	104.78 (4.18)	110.50 (3.09)		
DELV NR Syntax	4.83 (1.01)	4.78 (1.67)	10.00 (1.55)	10.39 (1.72)		
PPVT-4	82.34 (9.42)	85.78 (7.01)	101.06 (9.32)	105.56 (5.62)		
TOLD-P: 4	79.74 (6.48)	80.92 (5.39)	104.85 (7.66)	109.00 (9.54)		

Our Study: Words presented by an African American female

McDonald & Oetting, 2019

Results: Group Differences

	AAE LI	SWE LI	AAE TD	SWE TD
Percent Phonemes Correct All Items	70 (13)	63 (09)	80 (08)	80 (06)
3-syllable	71 (13)	67 (11)	83 (10)	83 (07)
4-syllable	57 (17)	42 (14)	69 (12)	70 (11)

Children who produce high densities of nonmainstream forms make more errors, especially on final consonants of words, but group differences (LI < TD) remain even when covarying out effects of the children's nonmainstream forms.

Se = Sensitivity Classification Accuracy % of children with LI who were classified as LI Se = .77 = 77% of the LI produced 76% or less phonemes correctly Cut Score: 76% Overall Se = .77, Sp = .74 AAE: Se = .69, Sp = .71 SWE: Se = .94, Sp = .78 Sp = Specificity % of children with TD who were classified as TD *Better accuracy within SWE than in AAE Sp = .74 = 74% of the TD produced 77% or more phonemes correctly McDonald & Oetting, 2019; Oetting et al., 2008; Rodekohr & Haynes, 2001 Two Other Clinical Tools Sentence Repetition **Grammar Productivity Probes** Both Work Best with Strategic Scoring Scoring Approaches 1. Traditional Scoring/ Unmodified Scoring 2. Wholesale Modified Scoring 3. Strategic Scoring

1.	Traditional	/Unmodified	ł
----	-------------	-------------	---

Score test items as manual recommends (General American English, GAE)

CELF-4 Recalling Sentences

Item 16: My mother is the nurse who works in the community. AAE Child: My mother ${\color{red} {0}}$ the nurse ${\color{red} {0}}$ work ${\color{red} {0}}$ in the place.

≥ 4 errors = 0 score

2. Wholesale Modified

Modify scoring of any productions that are consistent with the child's dialect. Do not penalize a child for speaking a dialect that differs from GAE.

CELF-4 Recalling Sentences

Item 16: My mother is the nurse who works in the community. AAE Child: My mother Ø the nurse Ø workØ in the place.

1 error = 2 score

Wholesale Modified Scoring: My mother Ø the nurse Ø workØ in there.

Across dialects of English, children with LI struggle to produce overt forms of verb morphology at the same percentages as their TD peers.

They are less productive with their grammars.

	LI	TD
AAE and SWE Regular Past Tense	50%	91%
Sadie play/ <u>ed</u> .		
AAE BE Auxiliaries - am, is, are	25%	47%
Ida is reading.	2570	4,70
SWE only Verbal –S	64%	89%
He walk/ <u>3s</u>		
AAE and SWE Subject Relatives	59%	86%
The girl who was typing is named Raven.		
AAS GUE JOUE NO. 5 P.L. C. Y. TO		000/
AAE, SWE and SWE with Cajun English Infinitive TO The boy wanted to go.	83%	90%
The boy wanted to go.		

cleveland & Octining, 2013; Seymout et al., 1996; Garriny & Octining, 2010; Octining & Newsills, 2006; Revise et al., 21

Wholesale Modified So	coring: My mother Ø the nurse Ø work	Ø in <mark>ther</mark>	<mark>e</mark> .
		Ш	TD
Across dialects of	AAE and SWE Regular Past Tense	50%	91%
English, children with LI struggle to produce overt	Sadie play/ <u>ed</u> .		
forms of verb	AAE BE Auxiliaries - am, is, are Ida <u>is</u> reading.	25%	47%
morphology at the same percentages as their TD			
percentages as their 1D peers.	SWE only Verbal –S He walk/ <u>3s</u>	64%	89%
	AAE and SWE Subject Relatives	59%	86%
They are less productive with their grammars.	The girl who was typing is named Raven.		
	AAE, SWE and SWE with Cajun English Infinitive TO	83%	90%
	The boy wanted to go. Cleveland & Oetling, 2013; Seymour et al., 1998; Garrity & Oetling, 2010; Oetl	No. 5 No. 11 Oct.	Distance of the Control
Wholesale Mo	odifications		
	by the test developers (and guided by ASHA a	and evner	·c
including myself)	by the test developers (and guided by ASHA a	anu expert	,
Do not let you identify LI	weaknesses with morphology within a child's	s dialect	
Norms are not provided a	with modifications, so you have no idea wher	re a child r	anks
relative to anyone.	with modifications, 30 you have no idea when	e a ciliu ii	aliks
Modified scoring is never	r tested for its clinical utility (classification acc	curacy)	
	percent of LI children get classified correctly as LI		
Specificity (Sp): what	percent of TD children get classified correctly as TD		
Unmodified 0	Modified Scaring of the	^ELE /	1
Unimodilled &	Modified Scoring of the (CELF-4	ł
South Carolina			
299 2 nd graders (77 speal	kers of AAE)		
DELV Screener-Dialect an	nd race to determine AAE use (strong varia	ation)	
DELV Screener-Risk to de	etermine LI (highest risk)		
CELE_A Subtacto			
CELF-4 Subtests Word Structure			
Recalling Sentences Formulated Sentences			
i ormalated sentences			
Examiners continued unt	til child would reach ceiling with both scor	ring	

Hendricks & Adolf, 2018

approaches

Results	
Traditional/unmodified: AAE Mean = 79.29 (11.71) < 1 SD below	v normative mean
66% classified as LI	
Se = .88, Sp = . <mark>48</mark>	Over Identification of LI
Wholesale modification: AAE Mean = 85.22 (11.78) = 1 SD of no	ormative mean
48% classified as LI	
Under	
Identification of LI Se = .63, Sp = .63	
3. Strategic Scoring	
Modify scoring if it is consistent with a child on LI within that dialect.	lialect AND it is not sensitive to
CELF-4 Recalling Sentences	
	. Modify for zero
Item 16: My mother is the nurse who works in AAE Child: My mother <mark>Ø</mark> the nurse <mark>Ø</mark> workØ in th	the community. verbal –s because it
	LI < TD in AAE.
≥ 3 errors = 1 score	
	D4 Child Language Lab
Scoring Approaches	
0 . 1515 . 2 4 5	
Traditional Searing / Hamedified Searing Hamed Se	0.0000
Traditional Scoring/ Unmodified Scoring: Item 16 = over-identification LI	
over-identification ci	
Wholesale Modified Scoring: Item 16 = 2 score	
under-identification I	.l
Strategic Scoring: Itom 16 - 1 corr	
Strategic Scoring: Item 16 = 1 score moving toward accurate identif	ication of LI
but it needs to be tested for its clir	

Sentence Recall Task



36 sentences 12 with **Tense**

Minnie was jumping on the big bed last night.

12 with Tense and Negation
Yesterday, Minnie was not jumping on the bed.

12 with **Tense**, **Negation**, and **Complementizer** *Mickey wondered <u>who was not jumping on the bed.</u>*

Oetting, McDonald, Seidel, & Hegarty, 2016

Today, Big Bird is driving to the new store downtown Big Bird is not driving to the store downtown today Ernie wonders if Big Bird is not driving downtown today. Ernie wonders who is not driving to the store. Tense & Negation & complementizer

Participants

	LI (n = 53)		TD (n = 53)		
	AAE (n = 35)	SWE (n = 18)	AAE (n = 35)	SWE (n = 18)	
Maternal Ed.	11.67 (2.27)	12.33 (2.90)	13.27 (2.63)	13.17 (3.05)	
PTONI	93.69 (9.60)	96.50 (8.35)	98.09 (8.90)	98.28 (8.14)	
GFTA-2	104.49 (5.72)	107.00 (4.38)	104.78 (4.18)	110.50 (3.09)	
DELV NR Syntax	4.83 (1.01)	4.78 (1.67)	10.00 (1.55)	10.39 (1.72)	
PPVT-4	82.34 (9.42)	85.78 (7.01)	101.06 (9.32)	105.56 (5.62)	
TOLD-P: 4	79.74 (6.48)	80.92 (5.39)	104.85 (7.66)	109.00 (9.54)	

Results: Points Earned

AAE LI	SWE LI	AAE TD	SWE TD
29	23	49	51
(10)	(12)	(11)	(10)

Max Total = 72. Main effect for group, F(1,102) = 123.33, p < .001, partial $\eta^2 = .55$

Diagnostic Accuracy with cut score of 40: Se = .91, Sp = .85

AAE: Se = .89, Sp = .86 SWE: Se = .94, Sp = .83



Classification Accuracy

1. Nonword Repetition



Overall Se = .77, Sp = .74

AAE: Se = .69, Sp = .71

SWE: Se = .94, Sp = .78

2. Sentence Repetition



Overall Se = .91, Sp = .85

AAE: Se = .89, Sp = .86

SWE: Se = .94, Sp = .83

Error Analysis

Tense, Negation Complementizer

Ernie wonders who is not driving to

the store.

VS.

Ernie wonders if Big Bird is not driving

downtown today.

Other

strategically scored as correct

Proportion of Error

	AAE LI	SWE LI	AAE TD	SWE TD
Tense, Neg,	40	40	20	16
Comp	(15)	(15)	(09)	(05)
Other	35	34	63	68
	(09)	(14)	(15)	(16)
Both	25	26	17	16
	(07)	(13)	(07)	(05)

 $Sentence\ recall\ good\ for\ classifying\ children\ as\ LI\ or\ TD\ and\ for\ identifying\ weaknesses\ with\ grammar$

Dialect Informed Productivity Probes

Don't these forms vary by dialect?

Can't AAE speakers say *he walkØ*?

Can't SWE speakers say *They was eating*?



	Past Tense	Regular/irregular: he walked, she ate
	Verbal - S	Temporary/habitual: he runs right now, she always runs
	BE Present	IS/ARE: he is eating, they are eating
	BE Past	WAS/WERE: she was eating, they were

	-
How did we test the children?	
now did we test the dilidren:	
Videos, animations. The world is dynamic and moving.	
Children need to use language to talk about what they see and experience.	
Videos also are more likely to naturally elicit the grammar structures we want.	
For children who don't like school or books, videos are less like school.	
	-
	J
	1
The Probes are Informed by AAE and SWE	
Regular verbs for past tense and all verbs for verbal -S probes ended with a vowel, liquid, or glide and were followed by "a" or "an" to avoid consonant clusters.	
Verbal –S included nonhabitual and habitual actions (+/-"always").	
BE present and BE past items were preceded by noun subjects (the puppets) rather than pronouns (they) to encourage overt forms.	
The bears are banging the pots	
vs. They are banging the pots	
	1
64 Verbs	
Verbal –s [a] Temporary: chew, fly, go, grow, row, saw, sew, spray Habitual: buy, dry, empty, follow, glue, lay, pay, see	
BE Present [The bear]	
Is: clap, fan, make, paint, pound, scratch, stack, stick Are: bang, cry, drop, punch, open, shiver, sneeze, wash	
BE Past [The ladies] Was: brush, drink, feed, hammer, lick, rock, talk, touch	
Were: bounce, bow, build, color, cut, hug, sleep, mix Past [a]	
Regular: dye, fry, mow, play, swallow, tie, tow, show Irregular: blow, eat, draw, read, ride, tear, throw, write	
	<u> </u>

Verbal s



The man doesn't glue a square. The man doesn't glue a triangle. The man [always] ______; He _____;

Is/Are




These bears seem loud. Tell me what you see

Was/Were



Watch the boy lick a popsicle. Watch the boy lick a popsicle. [cover screen]. Before I covered this up, what do you remember about the boy? What do you remember seeing?



9	
# AA	

Coding is informed by AAE and SWE

<u>Mainstream Overt</u>: Fried, blew, buys, is painting, are banging, was building, were hugging

<u>Nonmainstream Overt:</u> Blowed, had mowed, seen, frieded, doose, they is painting, they was painting; she are writing, he were writing

Zero: FryØ, blowØ, buyØ, she Ø painting, they Ø banging

Other: Targeted form was not required (I have a brush)

 $\underline{\text{Excluded}}\text{:}\,\text{<}1\%$ of responses (child produced a verb previously scored, poor audio, examiner error).

٨	lonmainstream	Overt	Forms ar	e Prodi	ictive
I١	OHIHAHISHEAHI	UVELL	COLLIS AL	e erom	IUIIVE

My mama said she was about to go to Bible study, and on the way back, her car had stopped. Then she had called the house because somebody let her use the phone..... [preterite had in AAE]

Fall/ed, Fell/ed, kick/ed/ed

I seen it.

I says to him....

They was walking

They's laughing

Forms within AAE and SWE

 $\underline{\text{Mainstream Overt}} : \textbf{Fried, blew, buys, is painting, are banging, was building, were hugging}$

<u>Nonmainstream Overt</u>: Blowed, had mowed, seen, frieded, doose, they is painting, they was painting; she are writing, he were writing

 $\underline{\mathsf{Zero}} \colon \mathsf{Fry} \emptyset, \, \mathsf{blow} \emptyset, \, \mathsf{buy} \emptyset, \, \mathsf{she} \, \emptyset \, \, \mathsf{painting}, \, \mathsf{they} \, \emptyset \, \, \mathsf{banging}$

Other: Targeted syntax not obligated (I have a brush)

 $\underline{\textbf{Excluded:} < 1\% \ of \ responses} \ (\textbf{child produced a verb previously scored, poor audio, examiner error)}.$

Proportion of Response Types AAETD SWELL SWETD AAETD SWETD AAETD SWELL SWETD AAETD SWELL SWETD AAETD SWETD AATD SWET

Three Scoring Approaches]
Unmodified	
mainstream overt mainstream overt, nonmainstream overt, zero, other	
Modified mainstream overt + nonmainstream overt + zero	
mainstream overt + nonmainstream overt + zero + other Strategic	
mainstream overt + nonmainstream overt mainstream overt + nonmainstream overt + zero	
	_
Three Scoring Approaches: Past Tense	
Unmodified	
$swallowed \\ swallowed + fry\emptyset + I have a brush$	
Modified swallowed + had showed + fryØ	
Swallowed + had showed + fryØ + I have a brush Strategic	
swallowed + had showed Swallowed + had showed + fryø	
	7
Strategic: Both Mainstream and Nonmainstream overt forms are productive markers of morphology	
Mainstream Overt: Fried, blew, buys, is painting, are banging, was building, were hugging	
Nonmainstream Overt: Blowed, had mowed, seen, frieded, doose, they is painting, they was painting; she are writing, he were writing	
Zero: FryØ, blowØ, buyØ, she Ø painting, they Ø banging Lare less productive; zero forms are hallmark	
feature of LI	

Participants

	LI (n = 53)		TD (n = 53)	
	AAE (n = 35)	SWE (n = 18)	AAE (n = 35)	SWE (n = 18)
Maternal Ed.	11.67 (2.27)	12.33 (2.90)	13.27 (2.63)	13.17 (3.05)
PTONI	93.69 (9.60)	96.50 (8.35)	98.09 (8.90)	98.28 (8.14)
GFTA-2	104.49 (5.72)	107.00 (4.38)	104.78 (4.18)	110.50 (3.09)
DELV NR Syntax	4.83 (1.01)	4.78 (1.67)	10.00 (1.55)	10.39 (1.72)
PPVT-4	82.34 (9.42)	85.78 (7.01)	101.06 (9.32)	105.56 (5.62)
TOLD-P: 4	79.74 (6.48)	80.92 (5.39)	104.85 (7.66)	109.00 (9.54)

Results: Percent Marked

	AAE		SWE	
	LI	TD	LI	TD
Unmodified	29 (17)	54 (24)	36 (26)	82 (13)
Modified	91 (08)	95 (07)	86 (13)	97 (04)
Strategic	43 (22)	71 (20)	48 (30)	91 (10)

Dialect: F(1, 102) = 16.33, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .14$; Group: F(1, 102) = 68.81, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .40$

Dialect X Group: F(1, 102) = 5.72, p = .019, $\eta_p^2 = .05$ TD Dialect: F(1, 51) = 20.05, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .28$ SWE Group: F(1, 34) = 43.69, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .56$ AAE Group: F(1, 68) = 25.53, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .27$

Results: Percent Marked

	AAE		SWE	
	LI	TD	LI	TD
Unmodified	29 (17)	54 (24)	36 (26)	82 (13)
Modified	91 (08)	95 (07)	86 (13)	97 (04)
Strategic	43 (22)	71 (20)	48 (30)	91 (10)

Group: $F(1, 102) = 20.53, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .17$

3 of 4 groups' percentages are > 90%

Results: Percent Marked

	AAE		SWE	
	LI	TD	LI	TD
Unmodified	29 (17)	54 (24)	36 (26)	82 (13)
Modified	91 (08)	95 (07)	86 (13)	97 (04)
Strategic	43 (22)	71 (20)	48 (30)	91 (10)

Dialect: F(1, 102) = 7.82, p = .006, $\eta_p^2 = .07$ Group: F(1, 102) = 63.62, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .38$

Results: Classification Accuracy

	Unmodified	Cut Score = 56%
		Classification Accuracy 73%, Se = .81, Sp = .64 Over-identification
Modified Cut Score = 93%		Cut Score = 93%
		Classification Accuracy 66%, Se = .51, Sp = .81
	Strategic	Cut Score = 60%
		Classification Accuracy 75%, Se = .72, Sp = .79

Results: Classification Accuracy

Unmodified	Cut Score = 56%
	Classification Accuracy 73%, Se = .81, Sp = .64
Modified	Cut Score = 93%
	Classification Accuracy 66%, Se = .51, Sp = .81 Under-identification
Strategic	Cut Score = 60%
	Classification Accuracy 75%, Se = .72, Sp = .79

1	0

Results: Classification Accuracy					
	Unmodified	Cut Score = 56%			
		Classification Accuracy 73%, Se = .81, Sp = .64			
	Modified	Cut Score = 93%			
		Classification Accuracy 66%, Se = .51, Sp = .81			
	Strategic	Cut Score = 60%			
		Classification Accuracy 75%, Se = .72, Sp = .79 Balanced Outcome			

Comparison of Tasks (with strategic scoring)

1. Nonword Repetition

Overall Se = .77, Sp = .74

AAE: Se = .69, Sp = .71

SWE: Se = .94, Sp = .78

2. Sentence Repetition

AAE: Se = .89, Sp = .86


SWE: Se = .94, Sp = .83


3. Productivity Probes


Overall Se = .72, Sp = .79


AAE: Se = .70, Sp = .83

SWE: Se = .93, Sp = .94









Comparison of Tasks	
Nonword Repetition Affected by children's nonmainstream form use but can be used to classify LI from TD within AAE and SWE. Does a better job in SWE than AAE.	
Sentence Repetition Best for classifying LI from TD within AAE and SWE with strategic scoring. Does a better job in SWE	
than AAE. Can also be used to identify weaknesses with grammar (tense, negation, and complementizers). Relevant for TX.	
3. Productivity Probes Can be used to classify LI from TD with strategic scoring. Does a better job in SWE than AAE. Ideal for	
learning about a child's inventory of verbs with verb morphology. Ideal for identifying limited productivity within a dialect. Relevant for TX.	
	_
Smith & Bellon-Harn (2015) TX Study	
AAE-speaking children, aged 4-5 years, TX	
TX was storybook reading with focused stimulation and recasting	
Pre-Test: 160 predicates (clauses) to support verb morphology; strategically scored overtly marked 6% and 7%	
Post Test: 384 predicates (clauses) to support verb morphology; strategically scored overtly marked 47% and 21%	
Growth Consistent with older AAE Speakers IS > ARE	
Children increased their use of <i>is</i> with plural subjects (<i>they'</i> s) from 4% to 7%	
4 Changes to Clinical Practice]
to Reduce Potential Linguistic Microaggressions	
Develop a Dialect Enthusiastic Persona	
Incorporate Cultural and Linguistic Variation into Materials	
Use Dialect Discovery Worksheets	
4. Keep a Dialect Diary	

What are Linguistic Microaggressions?

Brief, everyday exchanges that send negative messages to individuals because of the way they talk.

Microaggression deals with a class of utterances that, given the context of their production, are ambiguous: they are potentially insulting or invalidating, but the insult is *plausibly deniable*.

Unambiguously Negative: Your outfit looks dumb. Ambiguous: Your outfit looks [pause] so interesting.

Intent vs. Effect

Taylor Jones, https://www.languagejones.com/blog-1/2016/9/8/oi6379payz9mb4diadulndc24





Ambiguous and Not Inclusive

DLD affects children's abilities to learn and use language to communicate with others and perform well in school. DLD is not caused by a hearing impairment, intellectual disability, autism, or other conditions.

Dialect differences are not DLD. Some children speak a dialect that differs from school English. Some of these dialects are African American English, Southern White English, and Spanish-Influenced English.

Dialects are natural differences in how groups of speakers use language. A child who speaks a dialect that differs from school English presents a difference and not a disorder.

Nonmainstream dialect speakers also struggle to learn and use language.

Dialects are treated as an "Other" Condition.

Does not tell us what DLD looks like within these other dialects.

Messaging is only concerned with mainstream English.



Unambiguous and Inclusive

Across all dialects of English and all languages, some children struggle to learn language and perform well in school compared to their siblings, cousins, and friends; these children may have DLD. DLD is not caused by a hearing impairment, intellectual disability, autism, or other conditions.


Dialect/Language Universal Markers: Some clinical markers of DLD are found in all dialects of English and languages, including a reliance on generic words and simple sentence structure.


Dialect/Language Specific Markers: Some clinical markers of DLD are specific to a child's dialect and language...

SLP services are customized to a family's dialect and


All dialects and languages are included. Reference is to children in the same dialect/language community.

Sends a message that SLPs work with children who present with DLD within ALL dialects and ALL





Any time we talk about nonmainstream dialects, we are talking about the speaker.



Delpit & Dowdy, 2008

Other Ambiguous Messages with Potential to be a Microaggression

Telling children, we don't talk like that at school

Telling children, that is a fine way to talk at home, but it is not appropriate for school

Having an unwelcoming face when hearing nonmainstream English

Modeling mainstream forms when hearing nonmainstream forms



▶ Don't be yourself at school, I don't like how you talk, I don't like you.

4 Changes to Clinical Practice
to Reduce Potential
Linguistic Microaggressions



- 1. Develop a Dialect Enthusiastic Persona
- 2. Incorporate Cultural and Linguistic Variation into Materials
- 3. Use Dialect Discovery Worksheets
- 4. Keep a Dialect Diary

1. Develop a Dialect Enthusiastic Persona

Let everyone know you love dialects, languages, and accents. Your OWN dialect, various dialects in the city, state, country.

Dialects = Place, Dialect maps

Idiolect = everyone's unique way of communicating



Engage when colleagues ask questions about dialects.

Tell children, I don't want you to change who you are. I want you to be the best YOU. Help child Identify a peer or famous person as a model.

soft touches, very brief

Promote Code Meshing instead of Code Switching

Combining two or more dialects, language systems, and/or communication modes to effectively write and speak within the multiple domains of society (Young et al., 2004). Reflects what highly effective communicators do; similar to other SLP approaches.

https://dr-vay2014.wixsite.com/vershawn-young/what-is-code-meshing

Leave home out of it. We vary how we speak at school and work all the time.

Situational (audience, speaking vs. writing)

Inter-sentential (between sentences). I like recess. We \emptyset playing baseball today. Intra-sentential (within sentences). I went to the store and brung it home.

2. Incorporate	Cultural	&	Linguistic	Variation	into
Materials					

Incorporate articles, social media, songs, and books that contain dialects, languages, and accents.

Harris, S. (2020, May 22). *Diverse books for use in speech/language therapy*. Google Docs. https://docs.google.com/document/d/1e7UaTpSUTVrlucRx89h81rINTm30S7csMCyObu3ZvNE/edit?usp=sharing

Offers therapy suggestions (e.g., sequencing, explaining a past event)


Soft touches: Point out when a book or materials shows code meshing or language variation.


Include variation in our other metalinguistics activities

Comics









3. Use Dialect Discovery Worksheets

Using work sheets to learn more about a child's dialect and help a child learn about his dialect (metalinguistics).

Ain't

BE: I ain't going, Ain't you going? They ain't there. *yesterday he ain't there.
DO: I ain't got it. *I ain't have it. *ain't you do it yesterday?
HAVE: You ain't seen it. *tomorrow you ain't seen it.

Does a child use ain't BE, DO, and HAVE or just 1 or 2 of these forms?

If child produces ain't does be also produce double negatives?

If child produces ain't, does he also produce double negatives?

What effect does different prompts have on child's productions?

Can you say that sentence with the word "john" [specific noun]

Can you say the sentence without the word ain't

Can you say the sentence with the word "doesn't" or "isn't" or "hasn't"

* = awkward in a child's dialect.

	BE	DO	HAVE	Multiple Negation	*Past Tense *Future Tense
Ain't				regation	i uture rense
Ain't			1	+	
Ain't				1	
Ask child to change subject to a specific noun					'
Ask child not to use ain't					
Ask child to use BE, DO, HAVE					
_					
Forms of BE					
orms of BE			16	DE MA	uc Webe
		AM	IS A	ARE WA	IS WERE
CONTRACTIBLE (John's)		AM	IS A	are Wa	s Were
CONTRACTIBLE (John's) UNCONTRACTIBLE (Chris is)		AM .	IS A	IRE WA	IS WERE
CONTRACTIBLE (John's) UNCONTRACTIBLE (Chris is) Copula (I am happy)		AM	IS A	ARE WA	.S WERE
CONTRACTIBLE (John's) UNCONTRACTIBLE (Chris is) Copula (I am happy) Auxiliary (I am walking)		AM	IS A	ARE WA	S WERE
CONTRACTIBLE (John's) UNCONTRACTIBLE (Chris is) Copula (I am happy)		AM	IS A	ARE WA	S WERE
CONTRACTIBLE (John's) UNCONTRACTIBLE (Chris is) Copula (I am happy) Auxiliary (I am walking) Noun subject (John is)		AM	IS A	IRE WA	S WERE
CONTRACTIBLE (John's) UNCONTRACTIBLE (Chris is) Copula (I am happy) Auxiliary (I am walking)		AM	IS A	NRE WA	S WERE

Complex sentence (embedded clause)
Can child judge appropriateness for dialect?
John's walking vs. John walking am vs. John am waking

	•
Dialect worksheets -> Learn what a child can do	
with his/her dialect	
Instead of Accuracy of GAE Productivity, Diversity, flexibility	
Instead of modeling or asking for the mainstream form, manipulate other aspects of the utterance, focusing on meaning.	
We Ø playing baseball Who is we? "The class"	
The class will be playing baseball, The class is playing baseball.	
Dialect Awareness Programs (middle school)	
Jeffrey Reaser & Walt Wolfram (2007) Voices of North Carolina	
Student Workbooks, Teacher Workbooks, Materials to play Jepardy	
https://linguistics.chass.ncsu.edu/thinkanddo/vonc.php	
Keep a Dialect Diary	
Reflect on your experiences Diary of a Dialect Diva, NOT! with your dialect and others around you.	
Reflect on conversations with family and	
friends about dialects and place vs. names of dialects [this is hard; they might not go well]	
Reflect on attempts to work within a child's dialect rather than around or outside of it.	
Reflect on attempts to work on productivity, diversity, flexibility	
Reflect on promoting code meshing instead of code switching.	

Questions?	
Thank you!	