1a. Grade Level Lesson Plan: Attached is an example of a grade 3 lesson plan for Math that is aligned with PA Math standards. b.) Learning objectives are clear and the summarization activities describe how learning objectives will be met and students' learning measured. c.) They specify typical methods that are used to give learners various ways to acquire information and to demonstrate what they know.

Tier 1 Lesson Plan Everyday Mathematics Unit 1, Lesson 4, Grade 3

## Lesson Number \& Name: Unit 1, Lesson 4, Number Lines \& Rounding

Overview: Rounding to the nearest 10 and 100
Objective: Children use open number lines to round numbers
Standards): CC.2.1.3.B. 1
Teacher Page (s): 32-39
Resources Needed:
Math Masters p. 12-13
Math Journal 1 p. 6
Minute Math + p. 80
calculator, number grid
Key Activities/Concepts/Skills:
Use place value understanding and properties of operations to perform multi-digit arithmetic
Children will:

- identify the places in numbers and the values of digits in those places
- solve addition problems
- round numbers to the nearest 10 or 100


## Assessments:

Math Journal 1 p. 6
Expect children to use open number lines to successfully identify the multiples of 10 or 100 that are the closest to the number they are rounding in problems 1-3.

Ongoing Learning \& Practice:
Minute Math + p. 80
Home Link 1.4


Lesson Plan for Tiered Support
Everyday Mathematics, Unit 1, Lesson 4, Grade 3

| Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Learning Support |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whole Group: <br> Objective: <br> Children use open number lines to round numbers. <br> Standard(s): CC.2.1.3.B. 1 <br> Key Activities/ <br> Concepts/Skills: <br> Everyday Math <br> *Students identify the places in numbers and the values of digits in those places. *Students round numbers to the nearest 10 or 100. <br> Assessments: <br> Math journal 1 p. 6 <br> Expect children to use open number lines to successfully identify multiples of 10 or 100 that are the closest to the number they are rounding. <br> Ongoing <br> Learning \& Practice: <br> Minute Math + p. 80 <br> Home Link 1.4 | Small Group: <br> Objective: <br> Students round whole numbers to the nearest ten and hundred. <br> Standard(s): CC.2.1.3.B. 1 <br> Key Activities: <br> Number Worlds <br> Level E <br> *Students engage in the "Going Fishing" activity. <br> *Students focus on rounding to nearest tens and hundreds. <br> *The group practices activities using I Do, We Do, You Do format. <br> Assessments: <br> Unit 1 <br> Week 2 Lesson 4 practice worksheet | Small Group: <br> Objective: <br> Students will use a number line to estimate to the nearest ten. <br> Standard(s): <br> CC.2.1.3.B. 1 <br> Key Activities: <br> Connecting Math <br> Level D <br> *Students focus on identifying numbers closer to the lower and higher ten. <br> *Students demonstrate an understanding of the middle number between two tens. <br> *Students engage in estimation strategies to the nearest ten. <br> *The group practices verbal activities using I Do, We Do, You Do format. <br> Assessments: <br> Independent Practice <br> Workbook Page <br> Mastery Test | Small Group: <br> Objective: <br> Students will use a number line to estimate to the nearest ten. <br> Standard(s): <br> CC.2.1.3.B. 1 <br> Key Activities: <br> Connecting Math <br> Level D <br> *Students focus on identifying numbers closer to the lower and higher ten. <br> *Students demonstrate an understanding of the middle number between two tens. <br> *Students engage in estimation strategies to the nearest ten. <br> *The group practices verbal activities using I Do, We Do, You Do format. <br> Assessments: <br> Independent Practice <br> Workbook Page <br> Mastery Test |

1b - Master Schedules: The attached master schedule shows the core math instruction for a minimum of 90 minutes per day. Also, the schedule shows the Tier 2 and Tier 3 support provided in every classroom during an Intervention/Enrichment scheduled block.

This schedule format is used for all buildings: Wingate Elementary, Mountaintop Area Elementary, Port Matilda Elementary, and Howard Elementary.


2a. Establishing Cut Points: aimswebPlus reports, Everyday Math assessments, and District Math assessments are analyzed during data team meetings after each Benchmark assessment period (Sept., Jan., and May). Grade level data teams consisting of classroom teachers, math interventionists, learning support teachers, counselor, and principal review the reports.

- First Look: Which measures do we have less than $80 \%$ ( $85 \%$ in Jan. and May) of students making benchmark? What patterns are evident in the screening data? What adjustments need to be made to core math instruction?
- Second Look: Which students have composite scores that place them between the $85^{\text {th_ }}$ $99^{\text {th }}$ percentiles? How will learning be extended during intervention and enrichment time? Which students have composite scores that place them between the $10^{\text {th }}-24^{\text {th }}$ percentiles? Additional assessments will be done by a math intervention teacher with each of these students. These assessments will determine the Tier 2 instruction given at the scheduled intervention and enrichment time.
- Third look: Which students have composite scores that place them below the $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile? Additional assessments will be done by a math intervention teacher with each of these students. If the student is in the $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile in multiple Benchmark assessments and the additional diagnostic assessments showing multiple deficits, the student will be placed in a Tier 3 intervention. Parents are invited to a meeting and the results of the diagnostic assessments and all other assessments are discussed. After 810 data points are collected during intensive Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions, a review meeting is held to determine whether the intervention should continue in the current manner if the student is making considerable progress. The option is discussed if the intervention should be changed because the student is not making progress. The team would recommend additional testing by the school district psychologist after 15-20 data points are collected and multiple interventions were implemented. The intervention could be discontinued because the student's scores are now above the $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile and the student will receive support during core math instruction. If the student continues to receive the intervention, if the intervention time is increased, the review process is repeated. After the second intervention period, a review meeting is held to assess the student's progress. At this time in the IST process, the decision will be made to evaluate the student for special education services.


## Assessment Options (Math)


(Acronyms in blue print are from the aimswebPlus data management and reporting system.) (Assessments in black print are District created assessments.)

## Assessment Cut Scores (aimswebPlus National Norms Table)

Third Grade

| Concepts and <br> Applications (CA) | BOY | MOY | EOY |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 th | $102-143$ | $103-149$ | $102-157$ |
| 25 th | $144-154$ | $150-161$ | $158-168$ |
| 50 th | $155-182$ | $162-188$ | $169-194$ |
| 75 th | $183-195$ | $189-201$ | $195-207$ |
| 90 th | $196-245$ | $202-242$ | $208-243$ |


| Mental Computation <br> Fluency (MCF) | BOY | MOY | EOY |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10th | $0-0$ | $0-2$ | $0-4$ |
| 25th | $1-2$ | $3-4$ | $5-8$ |
| 50 th | $3-12$ | $5-13$ | $9-20$ |
| 75 th | $13-18$ | $14-18$ | $21-27$ |
| 90 th | $19-42$ | $19-42$ | $28-42$ |


| Number Comparison <br> Fluency-Triad <br> (NCF-T) | BOY | MOY | EOY |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 th | $0-2$ | $0-2$ | $0-5$ |
| 25 th | $3-6$ | $3-7$ | $6-9$ |
| 50 th | $7-20$ | $8-22$ | $10-26$ |
| 75 th | $21-28$ | $23-30$ | $27-33$ |
| 90 th | $29-40$ | $31-40$ | $34-40$ |


| Number Sense <br> Fluency (NSF) | BOY | MOY | BOY |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 th | $0-4$ | $0-7$ | $0-11$ |
| 25 th | $5-10$ | $8-12$ | $12-19$ |
| 50 th | $11-32$ | $13-34$ | $20-45$ |
| 75 th | $33-45$ | $35-46$ | $46-59$ |
| 90 th | $46-82$ | $47-82$ | $60-82$ |


| Math Benchmark <br> (MATHB) | BOY | MOY | EOY |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 th | $102-149$ | $103-160$ | $102-173$ |
| 25 th | $150-163$ | $161-176$ | $174-190$ |
| 50 th | $164-209$ | $177-222$ | $191-243$ |
| 75 th | $210-235$ | $223-244$ | $244-265$ |
| 90th | $236-327$ | $245-324$ | $266-325$ |

$2 a \cdot / 7 d$.

## Kindergarten:

Task 1: AIMSWEB Math Composite: Well Below Average (High Risk)
Task 2: NNF (0-13) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 3: CA (0-5) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 4: QTF (0-6) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 5: Number Worlds (Level B) score of less than $80 \%$

## Grade 1:

Task 1: AIMSWEB Math Composite: Well Below Average (High Risk)
Task 2: CA (0-5) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 3: MFF-1D ( $0-4$ ) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 4: NCF-P (0-13) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 5: Number Worlds (Level C) score of less than $80 \%$

## Grade 2:

Task 1: AIMSWEB Math Composite: Well Below Average (High Risk)
Task 2: CA (79-128) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 3: NSF (0-1) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 4: NCF-T ( 0 ) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 5: MCF ( $0-0$ ) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 6: Number Worlds (Level D) score of less than $80 \%$

## Grades 3:

Task 1: AIMSWEB Math Composite: Well Below Average (High Risk)
Task 2: CA (102-143) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 3: NSF (0-4) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 4: NCF-T (0-2) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 5: MCF ( $0-0$ ) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 6: Number Worlds (Level E) score of less than $80 \%$

## Grades 4:

Task 1: AIMSWEB Math Composite: Well Below Average (High Risk)
Task 2: CA (114-158) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 3: NSF (0-6) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 4: NCF-T (0-1) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 5: MCF ( $0-2$ ) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 6: Number Worlds (Level F) score of less than $80 \%$
Task 7: PSSA Score: Below Basic (600-922)

[^0]
## Grades 5:

Task 1: AIMSWEB Math Composite: Well Below Average (High Risk)
Task 2: CA (125-169) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 3: NSF (0-5) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 4: NCF-T (0-2) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 5: MCF (0-1) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 6: Number Worlds (Level G) score of less than 80\%
Task 7: PSSA Score: Below Basic (600-907)
*Students with 3 or more areas of deficiency will be selected to meet with the math interventionist to begin the appropriate intervention.
*Small groups for Tier 2 intervention should not be larger than 5 students.
$2 a$.

# CRITERIA FOR <br> SELECTION OF TIER 2 MATH STUDENTS 2019-20 (MOY) 

## Kindergarten:

Task 1: AIMSWEB Math Composite: Well Below Average (High Risk)
Task 2: NNF (0-23) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 3: CA (0-8) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 4: QTF (0-9) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 5: QDF (0-1) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 6: Number Worlds (Level B) score of less than 80\%

## Grade 1:

Task 1: AIMSWEB Math Composite: Well Below Average (High Risk)
Task 2: CA ( $0-8$ ) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 3: MFF-1D (0-8) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 4: MFF-T ( $0-0$ ) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 5: NCF-P ( $0-20$ ) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 6: Number Worlds (Level C) score of less than 80\%

## Grade 2:

Task 1: AIMSWEB Math Composite: Well Below Average (High Risk)
Task 2: CA (71-130) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 3: NSF (0-3) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 4: NCF-T ( $0-0$ ) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 5: MCF ( $0-1$ ) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 6: Number Worlds (Level D) score of less than $80 \%$

## Grade 3:

Task 1: AIMSWEB Math Composite: Well Below Average (High Risk)
Task 2: CA (103-149) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 3: NSF (0-7) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 4: NCF-T (0-2) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 5: MCF (0-2) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 6: Number Worlds (Level E) score of less than $80 \%$

## Grade 4:

Task 1: AIMSWEB Math Composite: Well Below Average (High Risk)
Task 2: CA (117-163) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 3: NSF (0-5) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 4: NCF-T (0) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 5: MCF (0-2) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 6: Number Worlds (Level F) score of less than $80 \%$
Task 7: PSSA Score: Below Basic (600-922)

[^1]
## Grade 5:

Task 1: AIMSWEB Math Composite: Well Below Average (High Risk)
Task 2: CA (121-172) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 3: NSF (0-7) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 4: NCF-T (0-3) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 5: MCF (0-2) score in 10th percentile or below
Task 6: Number Worlds (Level G) score of less than $80 \%$
Task 7: PSSA Score: Below Basic (600-907)
*Students with 3 or more areas of deficiency will be selected to meet with the math interventionist to begin the appropriate intervention.
*Small groups for Tier 2 intervention should not be larger than 5 students.

Table 3. BEASD Decision Guidelines

| Tier | Goal | Indication of progress toward goal | What to do next? | Indication of lack of progress toward goal | What to do next? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Math proficiently based on grade level norms | Meeting benchmarks based on Title I/Tier 2 Criteria | Maintain proficiency in current program. | Below benchmark(s) based on Title I/Tier 2 criteria. | Add intervention in classroom to differentiate or add to Tier 2. |
| 2 | Math proficiently based on grade level norms | Progress monitoring shows student is meeting expected target. Student's actual ROI is equal to or greater than the expected ROI (i.e., increased slope). | Maintain in current program and monitor <br> OR <br> May exit Tier 2 once two out of the three eligibility criteria for Tier 2/Title I has been met or exceeded (see Appendix C for exit criteria). Then continue monitoring at least bi-weekly for two months \& follow up at next data analysis meeting. | Progress monitoring shows student is not meeting expected target. Student's actual ROI is significantly below the expected (typical) ROI (discrepancy $\leq 125$ ). | Make a change to the program or move to Tier 3. <br> Change in program can include change in intervention, adding an intervention, or increasing the frequency and/or intensity of a program (smaller group size, more frequent intervention times). |
| 3 | Math proficiently based on grade level norms | Progress monitoring shows student is meeting expected target. Student's actual ROI is equal to or greater than the expected ROI (i.e., increased slope). | Maintain current program and monitor <br> OR <br> Move back to Tier 2, if applicable, and follow Tier 2 guidelines above | Student's actual ROI is significantly below the expected (typical) ROI (discrepancy $\leq 125$ ), and the student is not meeting the expected benchmarking criteria. | If the student's level is between the 11th-13th percentile, and the rate is $>$ 125 , consider maintaining the program or changing the intervention in Tier 3. <br> If the rate is discrepant $(\leq$ 125) but not the level or vice versa, then make a change to the program. <br> If the level is discrepant ( $\leq$ 10th percentile) as well as the rate, then student may need to be referred for an evaluation for SLD. |

Note. Expected ROI is based on national norms; ROI = Rate of improvement.

Instructions: The Building Level or Grade Level Data Team completes three times per year for each classroom. Document students who are meeting benchmarks and students receiving Tier 2 and/or Tier 3 services based on the universal screening/benchmark data. Create a plan to achieve goals within each classroom for the different groups of students.

Teacher $\qquad$ School $\qquad$
Number of students in the classroom 22

| Benchmark Data (25th Percentile) | Benchmark Targets: Grade 3 |  |  | Number of students in Tier 1: 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall | Winter | Spring |  |
| PSSA |  |  | <922 |  |
| aimswebPlus (CA) | 144-154 | 150-161 | 158-168 |  |
| aimswebPlus (MCF) | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-8 | Number of students in <br> Tier 2: <br> 3 <br> Number of students in |
| aimswebPlus (NCF-T) | 3-6 | 3-7 | 6-9 |  |
| aimswebPlus (NSF) | 5-10 | 8-12 | 12-19 | Tier 3: 0 |
| aimswebPlus (MATHB) | 150-163 | 161-176 | 174-190 |  |
| CDTs | 822-984 | 822-984 | 822-984 |  |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |


| Fall | Winter | Spring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goals by next benchmark: <br> $95 \%$ Above $26^{\text {th }}$ pecentle Goals for students in Tier 3: <br> Goals for students in Tier 2: <br> Projectorns for be abuve $2^{\text {th }}$ <br> Goals for students in Tier 1: prant <br> (e.g. fence sitters) Projected to $50^{\text {th }}$ \%om | Goals by next benchmark: <br> Goals for students in Tier 3: <br> Goals for students in Tier 2: <br> Goals for students in Tier 1: (e.g. fence sitters) | Goals for Fall: <br> Discuss plan to retain skills over the summer, students who need to begin Tier 3 immediately, etc. |
| What will we do in the classroom to help achieve these goals? <br> *Strategies should have good research base and be practical. | What will we do in the classroom to help achieve these goals? <br> *Strategies should have good research base and be practical. | Strategies that worked for students in Tier 2 and Tier 3 that should be continued next year: |
| What materials do we need? XTRAM, | What materials do we need? | What materials were helpful? |
| What students do we need to watch between now and the next benchmark? <br> Individual plan for each. | What students do we need to watch between now and the next benchmark? <br> Individual plan for each. | What students do we need to watch for in the fall? |
| How will we know if we are on track to achieve our goals? Moumant | How will we know if we are on track to achieve our goals? |  |
| Team Annotation of "To-Do's" | Team Annotation of "To-Do's" |  |
| Next Meeting Date: $2 / 11 / 2020$ | Next Meeting Date: |  |

Key:
CA=Concepts and Applications MFF-1D=Math Facts Fluency-1 Digit MFF-T = Math Facts Fluency - Tens
NNF = Number Naming Fluency $\quad$ NCF-P = Number Comparison Fluency-Pairs $\quad$ QDF = Quantity Difference Fluency
QTF $=$ Quantity Total Fluency $\quad$ ENB $=$ Early Numeracy Benchmark $\quad$ MCF $=$ Mental Computation Fluency
NCT - F = Number Comparison Fluency - Triads NSF= Number Sense Fluency MATHB = Math Benchmark
CDT = Classroom Diagnostic Tools PSSA=Pennsylvania System of School Assessment

2b. Timeline and Meeting Structure: Attached is the timeline used to facilitate grade level analysis and goal setting relative to honing Tier 1/core instruction (dates are specific to the 2018-2019 school year, but the same structure is utilized each year.

The Screening and Goal Record sheet is an example from one grade level, but the format is used for all grade levels and buildings: Wingate Elementary, Mountaintop Area Elementary, Port Matilda Elementary, and Howard Elementary. (Example given in Domain 2a.)

2b. Meeting Structure
BEA ELEMENTARY ASSESSMENT \& PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR 2019-2020

## August/September 2019

| August 27 | School Wide PBIS Meeting | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug 28 - Sept 6 | BOY District Reading \& Math Assessments (K-5), Math \& Reading aimswebPlus (K-5) completed by SWAT, SRI (3-5), Foundational Reading Assessment (1-2) - if student "checked" then give SRI |  |
| September 3-5 | CDT Testing Math \& Reading Grades 3-5 Test \#1: $3^{\text {rd }}$ - Math, $4^{\text {th }}$ - Reading, $5^{\text {th }}$ - Make-ups |  |
| September 3 | Faculty Meeting | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| September 10 | Professional Development - Collins/TDA | Elementary Buildings -3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| September 10 | PT: Making Each Moment Count - Mountaintop | K-2 (8:00-11:00 a.m.) \& 3-5 (Noon-3:00 p.m.) |
| September 11 | BOY District Data emailed to Betsy Gettig |  |
| September 11 | PT: Making Each Moment Count - Howard | K-2 (8:00-11:00 a.m.) \& 3-5 (Noon-3:00 p.m.) |
| September 12 | PT: Making Each Moment Count - Port Matilda | K-2 (8:00-11:00 a.m.) \& 3-5 (Noon-3:00 p.m.) |
| September 13 | Submit SLO with supporting data in PAETEP for approval |  |
| Week of Sept 16 | Data Team: W-16 th, MT-17 th, H-18 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, PM $-19^{\text {th }}$ | CDT, District Data, SRI, aimswebPlus, FR \& FR Subtest |
| September 17 | Professional Development - MTSS/Data | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| September 17 | PT: Making Each Moment Count - Wingate | K (8:00-11:00 a.m.) \& 3 (Noon-3:00 p.m.) |
| September 18 | PT: Making Each Moment Count - Wingate | 1 (8:00-11:00 a.m.) \& 4 (Noon-3:00 p.m.) |
| September 19 | PT: Making Each Moment Count - Wingate | 2 (8:00-11:00 a.m.) \& 5 (Noon-3:00 p.m.) |
| September 24 | PBIS Building Meetings | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| September 25 | MTSS Team-Math, Reading, Writing, SEL, SLD | Library Classroom |
| September 27 | Submit Differentiated Supervision Plan with supporting documents in PAETEP for approval |  |
| October 2019 |  |  |
| October 1 | Faculty Meetíng | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| October 1 | Tier 2 \& 3 Meetings at Howard \& Wingate |  |
| October 2 | Elementary Curriculum Team Meeting | MS/HS Library Classroom - 8:00 a.m.-3:20 p.m. |
| October 3 | Tier 2 \& 3 Meetings at Mountaintop \& Port Matilda |  |
| October 7-18 | Social Emotional Learning Screening - SAEBRS (more info to be shared prior to first screening) |  |
| October 8 | Professional Development - Collins/TDA | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| October 14-17 | Scholastic Reading Inventory (1-5) |  |
| October 15 | Professional Development - MTSS/Data | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| October 22 | PBIS Building Meetings | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| October 28 | End of $1^{\text {st }}$ Marking Period |  |
| October 29 | Professional Development - Collins/TDA | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |

## November 2019

| November 1 | Grade book updated by 3:20 p.m. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| November 1 | Réport cards ready to share at parent/teacher conferences |  |
| November 5 | Faculty Meeting | Elementary Buildings -3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| November 5 | Tier 2 \& 3 Meetings at Mountaintop \& Port Matilda |  |
| November 7 | Tier 2 \& 3 Meetings at Howard \& Wingate |  |
| Week of Nov 11 | Data Team: W-11 ${ }^{\text {th }}, \mathrm{H}-12^{\text {th }}, \mathrm{MT}-13^{\text {n }}, \mathrm{PM}-14^{\text {th }}$ | District Data, SRI, Progress Monitoring, FR \& FR Subtest |
| November 12-14 | Collins Writing Fidelity Checks - Kristine Gibson | Wingate (schedule to be provided) |
| November 12 | Professional Development - MTSS/Data | Elementary Buildings -3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| November 18 | Collins Writing Fidelity Checks - Kristine Gibson | Howard (schedule to be provided) |
| November 19 | Collins Writing Fidelity Checks - Kristine Gibson | Mountaintop (schedule to be provided) |
| November 19 | PBIS Building Meetings | Elementary Buildings -3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| November 20 | Collins Writing Fidelity Checks - Kristine Gibson | Port Matilda (schedule to be provided) |
| November 21 | MTSS Team-Math, Reading, Writing, SEL, SLD | Library Classroom |
| December 2019 |  |  |
| December 10 | Tier 2 \& 3 Meetings at Howard \& Wingate |  |
| December 10 | Faculty Meeting | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| December 12 | Tier 2 \& 3 Meetings at Mountaintop \& Port Matilda |  |
| December 17 | PBIS Building Meetings | Elementary Buildings -3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| January 2020 |  |  |
| January 7 | Faculty Meeting | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| January 13-15 | CDT Testing Math and Reading Grades 3-5 Test \#2: $13^{\text {t }}$ - Math, $14^{\text {th }}$ - Reading, $15^{\text {th }}$ - Make-ups |  |
| January 13-17 | SRI (1-5), RW RR (K), Foundational Reading Assessment (K-2)-if "checked" then give SRI |  |

26. 

| January 14 | Professional Development - Collins/TDA | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| January 20 | End of 2nd Marking Period |  |
| January 20-31 | Midyear District Math \& Reading Assessments, Math \& Reading aimswebPlus (K-5) by SWAT |  |
| January 20-31 | Social Emotional Learning Screening - SAEBRS |  |
| January 21 | Professional Development - MTSS/Data |  |
| January 24 | Grade book updated by 3:20 p.m. | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| January 28 | Tier 2 \& 3 Meetings at Howard \& Wingate |  |
| January 28 | PBIS Building Meetings | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| January 29 | Elementary Curriculum Team Meeting | MS/HS Library Classroom - 8:00 a.m.-3:20 p.m. |
| January 30 | Tier 2 \& 3 Meetings at Mountaintop \& Port Matilda |  |
| January 31 | Differentiated Supervision Plan Midyear Progress including preliminary data due in PAETEP |  |

February 2020

| February 4 | Faculty Meeting | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| February 5 | Midyear District Data emailed to Betsy Gettig |  |
| Week of Feb 10 | Data Team: W-10 ${ }^{\text {th }}, \mathrm{MT}-11^{\text {th, }}, \mathrm{H}-12^{\text {th }}$, PM $-13^{\text {th }}$ | aimswebPlus, CDT, RR, SRI, Data, Progress Monitoring, FR |
| February 11 | Professional Development - Collins/TDA | Elementary Buildings -3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| February 18 | Professional Development - MTSS/Data | Elementary Buildings $-3: 20-4: 00$ p.m. |
| February 25 | PBIS Building Meetings | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| March 2020 |  |  |
| March 3 | Faculty Meeting | Elementary Buildings -3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| March 3 | Tier 2 \& 3 Meetings at Howard \& Wingate |  |
| March 5 | Tier 2 \& 3 Meetings at Mountaintop \& Port Matilda |  |
| March 9-12 | SRI (1-5), RW RR (K), Foundational Reading Assessment (K-2) - if "checked" then give SRI |  |
| March 10 | Professional Development - MTSS/Data | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| March 11 | MTSS Team-Math, Reading, Writing, SEL, SLD | Library Classroom |
| March 17 | PSSA Online Training | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| March 24 | PBIS Building Meetings | Elementary Buildings -3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| March 26 | End of 3rd Marking Period |  |
| March 31 | PSSA Test Administration Meeting | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |

## April 2020

| April 1 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| April 1 | Glementary Curriculum Team Meeting | MS/HS Library Classroom - 8:00 a.m.-3:20 p.m. |
| April 2 | Tier 2 \& 3 Meetings at Mountaintop \& Port Matilda |  |
| April 6-17 | Social Emotional Learning Screening - SAEBRS |  |
| April 7 | Tier 2 \& 3 Meetings at Howard \& Wingate $\cdots$ |  |
| April 7 | Faculty Meeting | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| April 14 | Professional Development - Collins/TDA | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| April 20-22 | PSSA English Language Arts Assessment Grades 3-5 |  |
| April 21 | Professional Development - MTSS/Data | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| April 23-24 | PSSA English Language Arts Make-ups |  |
| April 27-28 | PSSA Mathematics Assessment Grades 3-5 |  |
| April 28 | PBIS Building Meetings |  |
| April 29-30 | PSSA Science Assessment Grade 4 |  |

## May 2020

| May 1-4 | PSSA Mathematics \& Science Make-ups |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 5 | Completed SLO \& Differentiated Supervision Plans w/supporting data \& reflection due in PAETEP |  |
| May 5 | Faculty Meeting | Elementary Buildings -3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| May 11-13 | CDT Testing Math \& Reading Grades 3-5 Test \#3: $11^{\text {th }}$ - Math, $12^{\text {th }}$ - Reading, $1^{\text {th }}$ - Make-ups |  |
| May 11-29 | EOY Assessments, SRI (K-5), aimswebPlus (K-5) by SWAT, FRA (K-2) - if "checked" give SRI, RW RR (K) |  |
| May 12 | Professional Development - Collins/Data | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| May 13 | MTSS Team-Math, Reading, Writing, SEL, SLD | Library Classroom |
| Week of May 18 | Data Team: PM-18 ${ }^{\text {trt }}$, H-19 ${ }^{\text {trn }}$, W-20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, MT-21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ | Focus: Student growth |
| May 19 | Professional Development-MTSS/Data | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| May 26 | PBIS Building Meetings | Elementary Buildings - 3:20-4:00 p.m. |
| May 31 | EOY District Data emailed to Betsy Gettig |  |

June 2020

| June 2 | Faculty Meeting | Elementary Buildings $-3: 20-4: 00$ p.m. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| June 2 | Grades due by 3:20 p.m. |  |
| June 4 | End of 4 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

3. Role of the core leadership RtI team: The MTSS team was constructed and consists of representation from all grade levels and buildings in the district. Together, we completed the MTSS Case Studies Series and applied that guidance to finalize the process of Using Response to Intervention for Specific Learning Disability Determination and completing the application. The Math Intervention teachers were assigned to support Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions. An MTSS Coordinator job assignment was created to direct the changes being made to support the MTSS framework. New interventions were also purchased to be implemented in Tier 2 and Tier 3 areas of need.

## RtII Teaming Structure

| Who? | What? | When? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Analysis Team <br> - Principal <br> - MTSS Coordinator <br> - Intervention Specialists <br> - School Psychologist (as needed) <br> - EL Teacher (as needed) <br> - Grade Level Teachers <br> - Guidance Counselor (as needed) | - Collect and analyze universal screening data <br> - Set measurable goals <br> - Monitor fidelity of implementation of MTSS <br> - Monitor student progress in Tiers 2 and 3 <br> - Design and implement effective interventions for at-risk students <br> - Refer students not making adequate progress to IST | - September (mid-end) <br> - February (mid) <br> - May (mid-end) <br> *For students in Tiers 2 and 3 meetings will take place more frequently as needed. |

Fidelity Checklist Overview

| Fidelity <br> Checklist | Who? | What? | When? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Tier 1 | -Principals <br> MTSS <br> Coordinator- Classroom Fidelity Assessment <br> Checklist for reading and/or EL |  |  |

Bald Eagle Area School District Roles and Responsibilities of the Core Leadership MTSS Team

| Role | Responsibility |
| :--- | :--- |
| MTSS Coordinator | Induction MTSS Training |
| Principals | Fidelity Checklists |
| MTSS Team | Develop a Strategic Plan |
| Principals | Provide Fidelity of Implementation <br> results to staff |
| MTSS Coordinator | Impact of interventions on diverse <br> groups |
| MTSS Team | Action Plan Approval |
| MTSS Coordinator, Interventionists, <br> Principal | Family Communication of MTSS |
| MTSS Coordinator, Interventionists | Analyze average growth of students in <br> intervention groups |
| MTSS Coordinator | Conduct Quarterly Data Team Meetings |
| MTSS Team | Monitoring Professional Learning <br> Needs |
| Parents/Guardians | Assist in making an informed decision |
| about their child |  |

## Self-Assessment of MTSS Implementation to Inform Self-Action Plan

A. Leadership Domain (1-5)

| 1. Principal actively involved | 2.4 | S |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Leadership team established | 3.0 | S |
| 3. Team actively engages staff | 1.3 | D |
| 4. Strategic plan implementation developed | 2 | S |
| 5. Leadership team facilitating implementation | S |  |

B. Building the Capacity/Infrastructure for Implementation Domain (6-16)

| 6. Critical elements are defined | 2.7 | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. Leadership Team facilitates professional development (assessments and data) | 1.3 | D |
| 8. Leadership Team facilitates professional development (problem solving) | 1.1 | D |
| 9. Leadership Team facilitates professional development (multi-tiered instruction and intervention) | 1.0 | D |
| 10. Coaching is used to support MTSS | 1.0 | D |
| 11. Schedules provide time for support | 1.0 | D |
| 12. Schedules provide time for assessments | 2.7 | S |
| 13. Schedules provide time for instruction and intervention | 2.0 | S |
| 14. Schedules provide time for staff collaboration | 1.3 | D |
| 15. Processes, procedures, and decision rules are established | 2.4 | S |
| 16. Resources available | 1.7 | D |

C. Communication and Collaboration Domain (17-20)

| 17. Staff engage | 1.7 | D |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18. Staff provided data | 2.6 | S |
| 19. Family and community engagement | 1.3 | D |
| 20. Actively engage families | 1.3 | D |

D. Data-Based Problem-Solving Domain (21-27)

| 21. Integrated data-based problem solving | 2.0 | S |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22. Across all Tiers | 1.3 | D |
| 23. Academic, behavior, and social -emotional data | 2.3 | S |
| 24. Specific instructional/intervention plans are developed | 3.3 | S |
| 25. Student progress specific | 1.0 | D |
| 26. Data-based problem solving informs | D |  |
| 27. Resources for and barriers |  |  |

E. Three-Tiered Instructional/Intervention Model Domain

| 28. Tier 1 academic practices exist | 2.3 | S |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 29. Tier 1 behavior and social-emotional practices exist | 2.0 | S |
| 30. Tier 2 academic practices exist | 2.0 | S |
| 31. Tier 2 behavior and social-emotional practices exist | 1.0 | D |
| 32. Tier 3 academic practices exist | 0.7 | S |
| 33. Tier 3 behavior and social-emotional practices exist |  |  |

F. Data-Evaluation Domain

| 34. Staff understand and have access | 1.3 | D |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 35. Policies and procedures for decision making are established | 1.3 | D |
| 36. Effective data tools used appropriately | 0.6 | W |
| 37. Data sources are used to evaluate | 2.0 | S |
| 38. Available resources are allocated | 1.3 | D |
| 39. Data sources are monitored | W |  |

(W) - Weakness 0-0.9 (D) - Developing 1-1.9 (S) - Strength 2.0-3.0

## Strengths

- A1. Principal actively involved
- A2. Leadership team established
- A4. Strategic plan implementation developed
- A5. Leadership team facilitating implementation
- B6. Critical elements are defined
- B12. Schedules provide time for assessments
- B13. Schedules provide time for instruction and intervention
- B15. Processes, procedures, and decision rules are established
- C18. Staff provided data
- D21. Integrated data-based problem solving
- D23. Academic, behavior, social-emotional data
- D24. Specific instructional/intervention plans are developed
- D25. Student progress specific
- E28. Tier 1 academic practices exist
- E29. Tier 1 behavior and social-emotional practices exist
- E30. Tier 2 academic practices exist
- E32. Tier 3 academic practices exist
- F37. Data sources are used to evaluate


## Developing

- A3. Team actively engages staff
- B7. Leadership Team facilitates professional development (assessments and data)
- B8. Leadership Team facilitates professional development (problem solving)
- B9. Leadership Team facilitates professional development (multi-tiered instruction and intervention)
- B10. Coaching is used to support MTSS
- B11. Schedules provide time for support
- B14. Schedules provide time for staff collaboration
- B16. Resources available
- C17. Staff engage
- C19. Family and community engagement
- C20. Actively engage families
- D22. Across all tiers
- D26. Data-based problem solving informs
- D27. Resources for and barriers
- E31. Tier 2 behavior and social-emotional practices exist
- F34. Staff understand and have access
- F35. Policies and procedures for decision making are established
- F38. Available resources are allocated


## Weaknesses

- E33. Tier 3 behavior and social-emotional practices exist
- F36. Effective data tools used appropriately
- F39. Data sources are monitored


## Action Plan and Guiding Questions

1. In which domains are the greatest gaps in current and optimal MTSS implementation?
2. Which specific items represent the greatest gaps in current and optimal MTSS implementation?
3. Which specific MTSS implementation actions or activities will your team focus on improving within your school?
4. Which are most immediately actionable?
5. Which would be the most impactful?
6. Which would be most foundational (aligned with where you want to be)?

| Action/Activity | Who is responsible? | When will it be started? | When will it be completed? | When/how will we evaluate it? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C19. Family and Community Engagement <br> - Schedule a Title I Math Family Engagement Night | Teachers <br> Math Intervention Teachers <br> Title I Coordinator <br> Administration | The beginning of the 2019/2020 academic school year. | The end of the 2019/2020 academic school year. | Attendance Sign-in sheet will be used to evaluate success |
| F36. Effective data tools used appropriately <br> - Provide all staff with training and access to aimswebPlus Math Data | Director of Curriculum and Instruction <br> MTSS Coordinator | The beginning of the 2019/2020 academic school year. | The end of the 2019/2020 academic school year. | Teacher feedback on improving functionality for completion of classroom math data |
| F39. Data sources are monitored <br> - Provide all staff with training and relevant access to all math data platforms | Director of Curriculum and Instruction | The beginning of the 2019/2020 academic school year. | The end of the 2019/2020 academic school year. | Teacher feedback on improving functionality of math data collection |

## Response to Instruction and Intervention Grid

| Tier 1 Level <br> Programming | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Special <br> Education |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| What <br> (Core Program) | Core Math <br> Program | Core Math Program | Core Math <br> Program | Specialized <br> Math Program |
| Intervention | Differentiated <br> Instructional <br> Strategies | Targeted <br> Intervention <br> Standard Treatment <br> Protocol | Specialized Math <br> Program |  |
| Who <br> (Intervention) | Classroom Teacher | Classroom Teacher, <br> Intervention <br> Teacher <br> (Small Group) | Intervention <br> Specialist: Small <br> Intensive Group |  |
| Where <br> (Intervention) | Classroom | Classroom or Pull <br> Out | Pull Out |  |
| Group Size <br> (Teacher to <br> Student Ratio) | 1:6 maximum | 1:3 maximum |  |  |
| How Long <br> (Duration) | Benchmark Period | Until goals are met | Until goals are met |  |
| How Long <br> (Time/Day) | 90 minutes daily | 20-60 minutes in <br> addition to Core <br> Instruction minimum <br> 4x/week (Grades <br> K-3) | 60-75 minutes in <br> addition to Core <br> Instruction per day <br> (Grades 1-3) <br> $45-60$ ming for K |  |
| Assessment <br> (Intervention) | aimswebPlus <br> Universal <br> Screening, <br> Fluency Data, <br> Curriculum-Based <br> Measures | aimswebPlus <br> Progress <br> Monitoring, <br> minimum 2x month, | aimswebPlus <br> weekly Progress <br> Monitoring, |  |
| Assessment <br> (Universal) | aimswebPlus (K-5), <br> Fluency Data, <br> Curriculum-Based <br> Measures | aimswebPlus (K-5), <br> Number Worlds <br> Placement Test | aimswebPlus (K-5), <br> Progress <br> Monitoring Data, <br> Fluency Data |  |

4a. Grade Level Goals: The attached document in Domain 2a. demonstrates the establishment of grade level goals and the identification of instructional strategies matched to student needs/goals. The items also used are: Data Team Meeting Record Sheet- This form appropriately groups students to math intervention groups based on data collected; Math Assessment Summary Sheet - This is a portion of the data collection file used by all grade levels to compare scores for multiple assessments; Everyday Math Assessment Check-In Records and Unit Assessment Tracking Sheets - This information will be used by the classroom teacher to drive instruction, reteach, and differentiate in the classroom during core instruction time; aimswebPlus Benchmark Comparison Report- This report provides scores, accuracy, and percentiles to use for intervention consideration.

The Screening and Goal Record sheet is an example from one grade level, but the format is used for all grade levels and buildings: Wingate Elementary, Mountaintop Area Elementary, Port Matilda Elementary, and Howard Elementary. (Example given in Domain 2a.)

| Report | School | Grade | Battery | School Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Group Tier Transition | Mt. Top | 3 | Math | 2018-2019 |

Tier: Low Risk Moderate Risk Bigh Risk

## aremithemensumthent



## Thastronemit

Fall to Winter Transition

| Fall | Winter Tier for Fall Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier $\mathrm{N}(\%)$ |  |  |
| $3(13)$ | 1 | 2 |
| $1(4)$ |  | 1 |
| $20(83)$ | 1 | 20 |
| 24 |  |  |


| Winter | Spring Tier for Winter Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier N(\%) |  |  |
| $0(0)$ |  |  |
| $1(4)$ |  | 1 |
| $23(96)$ | 1 | 22 |
| 24 | 1 | 23 |

Thetrancith Emprat

| Tier | F to W |  | W to S |  | F to S |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RO1 | SGP | ROI | SGP | ROI | SGP |
|  | 2.73 | 65 |  |  | 1.90 | 72 |
|  | 2.10 | 85 | 2.21 | 85 | 1.16 | 65 |
|  | 1.99 | 83 | 1.56 | 66 | 1.91 | 86 |
|  | 2.27 | 78 | 1.89 | 76 | 1.66 | 74 |

$4 a$.

# BEASD Elementary <br> Data Team Meeting 

Teacher: Grade Date:

| Number Worlds | Math Intervention | Math Enrichment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |

Specific Math Concerns:

Specific Math Strengths:

IST Concerns/Needs:

Follow-up/Parent Contact:

Behavior Concerns:
$4 a$.

100
90

What do these math stores mean?
The data above show the overall math performance of this group based on Spring benchmark testing.

The left portion of the bar graph shows the percentage of students in the five performance levels (see key below) for each measure. The national percentages for each performance level are also provided for comparison.

| $10 \%=$ | Well Below Average |
| :--- | :--- |
| $15 \%$ | Below Average |
| $49 \%=$ Average |  |
| $15 \%=$ | Above Average |
| $10 \%$ | Well Above Average |

The right portion of the Bar graph shows the percentage of students in each risk category based on their Math Composite scores. The risk categories describe the likelihood that students will achieve year-end performance goals based on their current scores.

The tables show both the student percentages and the actual number of students in each of the five performance levels and in each of the three risk categories (see key below). The group's median percentiles for each measure and for the Math Composite are also shown. Each percentile can be compared to the national median percentile of 50 .

- High Risk ( $<50 \%$ chance)

Moderate Risk ( $50 \%$ to $80 \%$ chance)
Low Risk (> 80\% chance)

| Report | Grade | District | School | Period |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scores \& Skills Plan | 3 | Bald Eagle Area SD | Mt. Top | Spring 2019 |

## Strty cheuth

School National | Are math skills improving? This graph shows the average Math |
| :--- |
| Composite scores for this group (solid line) and for the national norm |
| group (dashed line). The average gain for this group was 63 points, |
| compared to an average gain of 28 points observed in the national |
| sample. |

260
170
200

## INDIVIDUAL STUDENT MATH RECORDS - District Assessments

## Grade 3 - Beginning of Year

Teacher:
Building:
Port Matilda
Date: $\qquad$

| $\begin{gathered} \text { STUDENT } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | STUDENT NAME |  | BASIC FACTS (2 min. 30 sec.) |  |  |  | Place Value: millions thousandths ( 136 ) | Open-Ended Questions (district) ( 14 ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Addition single digit (150) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subtraction } \\ (150) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Multiplication$(150)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Division } \\ (\quad 150) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | Last | First |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 18 | 17 | 1 |  | 12 |  |
|  |  |  | 14 | 13 | 1 |  | 4 |  |
|  |  |  | 50 | 50 | 46 |  | 28 |  |
|  |  |  | 45 | 41 | 18 |  | 12 |  |
|  |  |  | 35 | 24 | 2 |  | 12 |  |
|  |  |  | 48 | 22 | 2 |  | 12 |  |
|  |  |  | 24 | 21 | 15 |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  | 50 | 40 | 24 |  | 27 |  |
|  |  |  | 43 | 34 | 9 |  | 12 |  |
|  |  |  | 32 | 23 | 0 |  | 3 |  |
|  |  |  | 13 | 13 | 4 |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  | 20 | 4 | 3 |  | 5 |  |
|  |  |  | 47 | 33 | 14 |  | 12 |  |
|  |  |  | 50 | 50 | 23 |  | 27 |  |
|  |  |  | 30 | 17 | 2 |  | 16 |  |
|  |  |  | 31 | 17 | 6 |  | 12 |  |
|  |  |  | 49 | 28 | 7 |  | 23 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Response to Instruction and Intervention Grid


4b. Changes: Our EL students receive the state recommended weekly EL instructional support from a certified ESL teacher. All EL and economically disadvantaged students follow the instructional framework based on data collected through benchmark testing and progress monitoring. They receive 90 minutes of core instruction and qualify for 30 additional minutes of Tier 2 instruction. If data collected shows lack of progress, these students can receive an additional 30 minutes of instruction through Tier 3 instruction. The students are progress monitored bi-weekly if they are receiving Tier 2 intervention instruction and weekly if they are receiving Tier 3 intervention instruction.

This process shown in the Tiered Support Flowchart is used to facilitate problem solving for students deemed at risk.

We use PVAAS to identify whether we have disproportionate numbers of ELLS and students who are economically disadvantaged students who are receiving tiered support in math.

Report: Growth of Student Groups
School: Port Matilda Elementary School
District: Bald Eagle Area School District

## View Growth by Subject Area

This report shows the growth of student groups for an individual school. Each table shows a subject, and each row displays the growth measure, standard error, and index where available. This information is available across a group of similar tests, grades, and courses as well as by each individual test, grade, or course.

There must be at least 11 students with sufficient testing history in a specific student group in order for growth to be included in this table. Students can be included in muitiple groups.
Math

| Student Group | Growth Measure | Standard Error | Growth Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economically disadvantaged |  |  |  |
| PSSA |  |  | $1.53 \mathrm{LB}$ |
| 5th Grade | 4.8 | 3.1 | $1.53 \mathrm{LB}$ |
| White (not hispanic) |  |  |  |
| PSSA |  |  | $1.54 \mathrm{LB}$ |
| 4th Grade | 4.4 | 2.5 | $1.76 \mathrm{LB}$ |
| 5th Grade | 0.6 | 2.1 | $028 \mathrm{C}$ |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |
| Student Group | Growth Measure | Standard Error | Growth Index |
| Economically disadvantaged |  |  |  |
| PSSA |  |  | $1.49 \mathrm{LB}$ |
| 5th Grade | 5.3 | 3.6 |  |
| White (not Hispanic) |  |  |  |
| PSSA |  |  | $0.68 \mathrm{e}$ |
| 4th Grade | -2.3 | 2.7 | $0.869$ |
| 5th Grade | 5.5 | 2.4 | $2.54 \mathrm{PE}$ |

## Science

Student Group
Growth Measure
Standard Error
Growth Index

PSSA
4th Grade
$-3.2$
18.2
aMte

## View Growth by Student Group

This report shows the growth of student groups for an individual school. Each table shows a student group, and each row shows growth across all tests, grades, and courses for a subject. The row displays the growth measure, standard error, and index where available.

There must be at least 11 students with sufficient testing history in a specific student group in order for growth to be included in this table. Students can be included in multiple groups.

| Subject | Growth Measure | Standard Error | Growth Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math |  |  |  |
| PSSA |  |  | $1.53 \mathrm{LB}$ |
| 5th Grade | 4.8 | 3.1 | $1.53 \mathrm{LB}$ |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |
| PSSA |  |  | $1.49 \mathrm{LB}$ |
| 5th Grade | 5.3 | 3.6 | $1.49 \mathrm{LB}$ |

Subject
Math
PSSA

4th Grade
5th Grade
English Language Arts PSSA

4th Grade
5th Grade
Science
PSSA

4th Grade

Growth Measure
0.6
$-2.3$
5.5
$-3.2$

Standard Error Growth Index


18.2
c

Significant evidence that the school exceeded the standard for PA Academic Growth
Moderate evidence that the school exceeded the standard for PA Academic Growth
Evidence that the school met the standard for PA Academic Growth
Moderate evidence that the school did not meet the standard for PA Academic Growth

Significant evidence that the school did not meet the standard for PA Academic Growth

## Tiered Support Flowchart

## Bald Eagle Area School District <br> Academic Concerns



Once referral is made:

- Counselor conducts classroom observation, if applicable.
- Counselor contacts parent(s) to invite them to the Tiered Support Meeting.
- Teacher and counselor complete Tier 2 Referral Form for initial meeting. Counselor sends out all documents to entire Team at least 2 days prior to meeting date.

At Tier 2 Meeting (held monthly):

- In-house briefing ( 10 minutes; new referrals only)
- Meeting with parents ( 20 minutes): Discuss concerns and select intervention(s) based on concerns.
- Counselor keeps minutes and sends notes to entire Team after the meeting.


Implement intervention for 8 weeks. Record student progress. Meet again with Team to discuss progress (Tier 2 Fol-low-up meeting).

At Tier 2 Follow-Up Meeting (approx. 8 weeks after initial meeting date), the Team reviews the data to determine the student's response to the intervention(s).

```
Yes - Continue with existing
    intervention until Tier 2 exit
    criterion is reached (see MTSS
manual)
```

No - Adjust or add intervention, provide intervention for another 6 weeks. Identify fol-low-up meeting date at the Tier 2 Meeting (approx. 6 weeks out), and discuss progress at the next meeting.

- If student does not respond after 2 interventions, student should move to Tier 3.


Tier 3:

- The student should continue with the intervention(s) until the Tier 3 Meeting. The team sets a Tier 3 meeting date to review data. Additional interventions are implemented for 4 weeks.

Student is monitored. Team meets to discuss progress after 4 weeks. If progress is made, student continues with intervention until student meets exist criterion to move back to Tier 2. If no progress is made, a special education referral occurs.

Table 4. Reference Guide to Decision Guidelines

*Consider referral if prolonged Tier 3 support has been provided.
**Consider referral after two (2) Tier 3 interventions have been tried (a minimum of 10 data points across Tier 2 and Tier 3 must be available).

Report
Student Profile

Battery
Early Literacy, Early Numeracy

Student
Student ID
$\square$

Grade
K

School Year
'18-19

## Pervinhemaesthminat

Benchmarking



Student example: Using the benchmarking data, this student qualified for Tiered 2 interventions, especially for Concepts and Applications (CA). She was instructed with Number Worlds. At the midyear benchmark, progress was not being made, and the Tier 3 intervention of Connecting Math was implemented for additional instruction. Tier 2 support is given in small group instruction of 3 to 4 students, and Tier 3 support is given in one-to-one or two-to-one settings. The student continued with the general education math curriculum at the same time.

## BEAD ELEMENTARY

TIER 2/TIER 3 REFERRAL FORM 2019-2020
Section 1 - Referral Concern Information: TO BE COMPLETED BY TEACHER
Student Name: $\qquad$ D.o.B: $\qquad$ Grade: $\qquad$
Parent/ Guardian Name: $\qquad$
Phone Contacts: (H) $\qquad$ (C) $\qquad$ (W) $\qquad$
Who has custody of the student? Both Parents $\qquad$ Mother $\qquad$ Father $\qquad$ Guardian $\qquad$
Parent Notified of Referral: Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$ Date Notified: $\qquad$

## Parent Contact History

| Date: | Summary of Parent Contact and Type of Contact (e.g., Email, in person, phone): |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

NOTE: If this form was completed for the initial referral meeting, please complete the few questions below. You do not need to complete all questions in Section 1 again unless changes need to be made. However, please update all assessment results applicable to the student (Section 2, academic and/ or behavior). PLEASE FEEL FREE to ATTACH ANY SUPPORTING INFORMATION INSTEAD OF WRITING THE INFORMATION IN (e.g., Aimsweb/ SRI scores, grades, etc.).

## Last Tier 2 meeting date:

Have there been any changes in prior concerns or any additional concerns noted since the last meeting?
$\qquad$ no
yes. If yes, please complete relevant sections below.
Since the last meeting, has there been a change in:


Areas of Strength: (if no areas are checked, it is assumed that no changes need to be made from last meeting date)


Summarize Concerns/ Reason for Referral: Please rank order your concerns from greatest to least priority.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Rate each above noted concern. Use additional pages for ratings, if needed. Leave additional ratings blank if only one concern is noted. 1-Unmanageable or very disruptive behavior, 5-Manageable or mildly disruptive behavior

| Concern 1 (Priority concern \#1) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Concern 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Concern 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Classroom Interventions Implemented, if applicable: (use additional rows/pages, if needed)

| Description of Interventions): | Approx. Start Date: | Description of Progress/ Success: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Related Services: Please check all applicable services and note frequency of sessions after the service name

|  | Service | Frequency |  | Service | Frequency | Service |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | OT |  |  | Vision |  | Frequency |
|  | PT |  |  | Hearing |  | Speech/Language |
|  | Gifted |  |  | ESL |  | School Counseling |
|  | Other: |  |  | Outside Counseling |  |  |

Section 2 - Assessment Data: TO BE COMPLETED BY TEACHER (please check in with school counselor, MTSS coordinator, interventionist as needed).

Date completed: $\qquad$
ACADEMIC INFORMATION: If available, please attach any applicable score reports (e.g., most recent Aimsweb chart, SRI chart etc.).

## Number Worlds Participant:

$\qquad$ No
Yes: Date started: Current Level $\qquad$ or Discontinuation Date $\qquad$
Frequency (e.g., daily, every other day etc.): $\qquad$

## Wonder Works Participant:

$\qquad$ No
Yes: Date started: $\qquad$ Current Level $\qquad$ or Discontinuation Date $\qquad$
Frequency (e.g., daily, every other day etc.): $\qquad$

## Aimsweb Assessments:

Aimsweb Benchmark Reading percentiles and scores (note all applicable scores, leave others blank):
Grades $\mathrm{k}-1$ :

| Assessment | Percentile | Assessment |  | Percentile |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| PC Print Concepts |  | WRF | Word Reading Fluency |  |
| LNF Letter Naming fluency |  | PS | Phoneme Segmentation |  |
| AV Auditory Vocab |  | ORF | Oral Reading Fluency |  |
| IS Initial Sounds |  | NWF | Nonsense Word Fluency |  |
| LWSF Letter Word Sounds fluency |  |  |  |  |

Grades 2-5:

| Assessment | Percentile | Assessment |  | Percentile |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VOC Vocabulary |  | RC | Reading Comprehension |  |
| ORF Oral Reading Fluency |  | SRF | Silent Reading Fluency |  |

Aimsweb Math percentiles and scores (note all applicable scores, leave others blank):
Grades $\mathrm{k}-1$ :

| Assessment | Percentile | Assessment |  | Percentile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NNF Number Naming Fluency |  | QTF | Quantity Total Fluency |  |
| QDF Quantity Difference Fluency |  | CA | Concepts and Applications |  |
| NCF-P Number Comparison Fluency-Pairs |  | MCF | Mental Computation Fluency |  |
| MFF-1D Math Facts Fluency (1-Digit): |  | NSF | Number Sense Fluency |  |
| MFF-T Math Facts Fluency (10s) |  |  |  |  |

Grades 2-5:


Other Assessments:

| Assessment | Score | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SRI lexile level |  |  |
| SRI Phonics Inventory |  |  |
| Foundational Reading |  |  |
| Running Records |  |  |
| Reading CDT (Color range: |  |  |
| Math CDT (Color range: |  |  |
| PSSA Math (Range: | ) |  |
| PSSA Reading (Range: |  |  |

Attendance: $\qquad$ \# of days absent $\qquad$ \# of tardies

## BEHAVIORAL INFORMATION:

## Check-In/Check-Out Participant:

$\qquad$
$\qquad$ Yes- Date started: $\qquad$ and date discontinued (if applicable) $\qquad$

Other Interventions) - Tier 2: (e.g., group/ individual counseling; pre-teach/re-teach Second Step; etc.)
$\qquad$ No Yes- Date started: $\qquad$ Current Intervention: $\qquad$

Behavioral Data: most recent SWPBIS Tier 2 Screening/SAEBRS Results

| Date: | Social Behavior <br> (at risk: 0-12) | Academic Behavior <br> (at risk: 0-9) | Emotional Behavior <br> (at risk: 0-17) | Total Behavior <br> (at risk: 0-30) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Number of office referrals in current academic year:

Please list dates and circumstances of all behavioral referrals below.

| Dates) | Incident |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Student frequently leaves classroom to go to (check all that apply):

__ Nurse ___ Restroom ___ Counselor __ Other (e.g., principal's office)

Per day:
Approximate number of times the student leaves the classroom to visit nurse, restroom etc. (do not include intervention time, lunch, recess, specials, related services, etc.): $\qquad$
In minutes (approximate): $\qquad$

Section 3 - Social Background Information: TO BE COMPLETED BY SCHOOL COUNSELOR
Student lives with: $\qquad$ (Step) Mother $\qquad$ (Step) Father ___Grandparent(s) $\qquad$ Guardian/ Other

Siblings living in the household: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
At home, student is good at/ enjoys doing: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
At home, student has difficulties with: $\qquad$
$\qquad$

Academic and/ or behavior concerns noted at home: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Has the student received outside counseling for the behaviors? $\qquad$ No $\qquad$ Yes, describe:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Other important information or factors to consider: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


4c. Progress Monitoring Measures: aimswebPlus progress monitoring measures are used in grades K-5. Students receiving Tier 3 instruction are progress monitored weekly. Students receiving Tier 2 instruction are progress monitored bi-weekly. All students in grades K-5 receive benchmark assessments three times a year. In addition to aimswebPlus progress monitoring, students who are receiving standard protocol interventions are progress monitored according to the specifications of each individual program. Classroom teachers also monitor the progress of all students by using core curriculum assessments. (The Assessment Options Chart for Math is included with Domain 2a). (The Response to Instruction and Intervention Grid for Math is included with Domain 3).
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5a. Fidelity Checklists: The attached Fidelity Checklists are examples of what documentation is used for core instruction and all interventions to provide feedback on the implementation of the instructional programs. This shows how feedback is provided and discussed in a timely fashion.

## MTSS Fidelity Checklist: Everyday Math

Directions: A professional trained and experienced in the curriculum completes:

Name of Teacher:
Name of Observer:
Number of Students Observed:
Total Time of Instruction:
Tier 1

$5 a . / 7 e$.


Directions: A professional trained and experienced in the intervention completes:

Name of Interventionist: K-5Math and Reading Interventionist Name of Observer:

Number of Students Observed: 5
Total Time of Instruction: 30 m minutes
Tier 1

School: wingate Elementary Date: $12-9-19$

Lesson: First Grade Number Tier 3 Worlds: Week 10, lesson 4

$50.17 e$.

$5 a . / 7 e$.

| Cumulative or End of Unit Assessment data is <br> recorded. | $X$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Student groups are performing at or above $80 \%$ <br> mastery. | $X$ |  |  |
| Lesson pacing is adequate. | $X$ |  |  |

$5 a . / 7 e$.

## MTSS Fidelity Checklist: Incremental Rehearsal

Directions: A professional trained and experienced in the intervention completes:

Name of Interventionist:
Name of Observer:
Number of Students Observed:
Total Time of Instruction:
Tier 1
Tier 2
Lesson:


| Teacher assesses the student by showing the <br> student each of the cards one at a time. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Teacher places flashcard in respective pile <br> (known vs. unknown). | $\ddots$ |  |  |
| Teacher selects 9 known items and 1 unknown <br> item for intervention procedure. |  |  | Great intervention <br> for Trer 3 Studen |

5 a. $/ 7 e$.

Directions: A professional trained and experienced in the intervention completes:

Name of Interventionist:
Name of Observer:
Number of Students Observed:
Total Time of Instruction:
Tier 1
Tier 2

CLASSROOM STRUCTURE AND ORGANIZATION



5b. Student Data Graph: The graph included shows the aim line, trend line, and rate of improvement for a second grade student from the Fall to Spring using the aimswebPlus progress monitoring measure. The data for this student shows that the student scored in the $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile for Number Sense Fluency at the beginning of the year benchmark assessment. The student was placed in a Tier 2 intervention and progress monitored on a biweekly basis after. Incremental Rehearsal for Fact Fluency was added during Tier 3 intervention time to meet the instructional needs of this student. This student made adequate progress as shown in the attached chart. The student met the goal for Number Sense Fluency and Concepts and Applications on the Spring Benchmark assessment.



|  | Baseline, $9 / 3$ | $9 / 10$ | $9 / 17$ | $9 / 24$ | $10 / 1$ | $10 / 8$ | $10 / 15$ | $10 / 22$ | $10 / 29$ | $11 / 5$ | $11 / 12$ | $11 / 19$ | $11 / 26$ | $12 / 3$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Score | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 8 | 9 | 15 | 19 |  |  |  |

Intervention
Trend ROI

|  | 1210 | 12117 | $12 / 24$ | 1231 | 17 | $1 / 4$ | $1 / 21$ | 1/28 | $2 / 4$ | 211 | 218 | 2125 | $3 / 4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Score | 14 | 20 |  |  | 17 |  | 28 | - | 33 |  |  |  | 21 |
| Errors | 15 | 4 |  |  | 9 |  | 3 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Goalrol | 0.76 | 0.76 |  |  | 0.76 |  | 0.76 |  | 0.76 |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| Trend ROl | 1.02 | 1.11 |  |  | 0.99 |  | 1.14 |  | 1.28 |  |  |  | 1.06 |

- Intervention

Trend ROI

|  | $3 / 18$ | $3 / 25$ | $4 / 1$ | $4 / 8$ | $4 / 15$ | $4 / 22$ | $4 / 29$ | $5 / 6,5 / 3$ | $5 / 20$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Score | 31 | 31 | 3 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]$5 b$

| Report | Student | StudentID | Grade | Measure | Period |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Individual Monitoring |  | 31048 | 1 | Number Naming Fluency, Grade K | Sep'18-Aug'19 |

## Gbal Changelvg

| Date | Baseline | Goal_, Gal ROI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $09 / 18 / 2018$ | $09 / 05 / 2018-2$ | $30-05 / 26 / 2019$ | 0.76 ROI, undefined |

## Esal spitement

current rate of improvement (Trend ROI) is 0.95 points per week on Number Naming Fluency. To reach the goal score of 39 by 05/26/2019, will need to improve at an average rate of 1.00 points per week.

At the fall benchmarking assessment, this student scored 2 correct on the Number Naming Fluency assessment (1st percentile). She entered tier 2 for the first nine weeks of the school year. At the beginning of October, the student entered tier 3 due to a lack of progress (weekly assessments noted in the table). Her goal was set to 39 correctly named numbers (27th percentile). Her team, after reviewing her data in January, determined that she was making sufficient progress, and she transitioned back to tier 2 (bi-weekly assessments noted in the table). Please note that students in kindergarten only need to master the numbers 1 through 20 , and she had met that goal. She was progressing sufficiently to meet her goal of 39 at the end of the school year, which is evident in that the red line (i.e., student's growth trend line) is progressing similar to the black line (i.e.,target growth line). A special education evaluation was not recommended at that time, and her rate of improvement was 0.95 points per week.

## $5 b$


$5 b$

$\psi$
$\sigma$
stu
Are
Incremental Rehearsal-Progress Monitoring Student name:
Area of focus: Number Recognition Notes
$q$
$\sigma$
Stud
Ared

5c. Within an MTSS model for Mathematics, our cross-disciplinary team uses a problem-solving process to facilitate shared ownership for enhancing mathematics outcomes. Once an adopted standards-based curriculum is present, teachers can begin to help their students learn identified concepts and procedures. The most effective way to teach concepts and procedures is to implement evidence-based practices (EBPs) - practices and strategies that have been shown to be effective through rigorous research. These practices include explicit, systematic instruction, visual representations, schema instruction, and metacognitive strategies. Our teams will expand their continuum of evidence-based practices and reliable and valid data sources. The development of the infrastructure and implementation efforts occur within the context of culturally responsive practices, positive behavioral supports, and family engagement. When teachers implement EBPs along with a standards-based curriculum, they are providing high-quality mathematics instruction.

Everyday Mathematics is a research-based and field-tested curriculum that focuses on developing student's understandings and skills in ways that produce life-long mathematical learners.

The Everyday Mathematics curriculum emphasizes:

- Use of concrete, real-life examples that are meaningful and memorable as an introduction to key mathematical concepts.
- Repeated exposures to mathematical concepts and skills to develop students' ability to recall knowledge from long-term memory.
- Frequent practice of basic computation skills to build mastery of procedures and quick recall of facts, often through games and verbal exercises.
- Use of multiple methods and problem-solving strategies to foster true proficiency and accommodate different learning styles.

Connecting Math Concepts is designed to accelerate the math learning performance of students in grades $K$ through 5 . The program provides highly ${ }^{\circ}$ explicit and systematic instruction in the wide range of content specified in the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics. The program stresses understanding and introduces concepts carefully, then weaves them together throughout the program. Lessons are designed to introduce concepts at a reasonable rate and help students make connections between important concepts. The lessons provide the practice needed to achieve mastery and understanding.

Number Worlds is a highly-engaging, research-proven, teacher-led math intervention program that was built on rigorous state standards to bring math-challenged students up to grade level with Real World Applications. Number Worlds helps struggling learners in Response to Intervention Tiers 2 and 3 achieve math success and quickly brings them up to grade level by intensively targeting the most important standards.

The following are evidence based practices for increasing accuracy and fluency with math facts:

- Incremental Rehearsal
- Cover-Copy-Compare
- Drill Sandwich
- Discrete Trial Training
- Detect-Practice-Repair


## Evidence Based Practices (Math)

| Resource and Author | Instructional Purpose | Grade Level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Connecting Math | Concepts and Applications | K-5 |
| Distar | Concepts and Applications | K-5 |
| Number Worlds | Concepts and Applications | K-5 |
| Touch Math | Concepts and Applications | K-5 |
| Incremental Rehearsal | Fluency | K-5 |
| Drill Sandwich | Fluency | K-5 |
| Cover-Copy-Compare | Fluency | K-5 |
| Discrete Trial Training | Fluency | K-5 |
| Detect-Practice-Repair | Fluency | K-5 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

6a. Reports to Parents: Attached is an example of progress reports that are distributed to parents to inform them and help them better understand their child's progress. Also attached is a copy of the Rtll Meeting Form which is used to guide discussion during RtII Meetings. Additional information is provided regarding universal screening and student performance. The graph shown as evidence in Domain 5b. is also shared during the meetings. All parents are invited to the meetings via a phone call from the guidance counselor. They accommodate the parents' schedule to ensure that they can be present to discuss their child's academic performance.

## Bald Eagle Area <br> Math Intervention Progress Report

Student:
Classroom Teacher:
Grade: 2
Intervention Teacher:
Progress Report: BOY/MOY/EOY

|  | Beginning of <br> Year | Middle of Year | End of Year | End of Year <br> Goal |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concepts and <br> Application |  |  |  | $142-153$ |
| Number <br> Comparison <br> Fluency-Triads |  |  |  | $1-3$ <br> items |
| Mental <br> Computation <br> Fluency |  |  |  | $3-7$ <br> items |
| Number Sense <br> Fluency |  |  |  | $6-12$ <br> items |
| Math Benchmark |  |  |  | $147-166$ |

Teacher Comments:

Concepts and Applications- Students will solve various types of math word problems.
Number Comparison Fluency-Triads- Students mentally solve multiple-choice math problems, each requiring the student to assess magnitude while comparing a set of three numbers.
Mental Computation Fluency - Students mentally solve multiple-choice math computation problems.
Number Sense Fluency - This is a summary of performance from the Number Comparison Fluency-Triads and Mental Computation Fluency tests.
Math Benchmark- A snapshot of the student's math abilities.

Period
Sep'18-Aug'19

| Report | Student | Student Ib Grade Measure | Period |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Individual Monitoring | 31048 | 1 | Quantity Total Fluency, Grade K |

- Score $\frac{A}{\Delta}$ Intervention Change $\|$ Goal Change Projection __ Airline Goal Goal Met



Intervention Trend ROI

|  | $12 / 10$ | $12 / 17$ | $12 / 24$ | $12 / 31$ | $1 / 7$ | $1 / 14$ | $1 / 21$ | $1 / 28$ | $2 / 4$ | $2 / 11$ | $2 / 18$ | $2 / 25$ | $3 / 4$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Score | 9 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 20 | 17 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Errors | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal ROI | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.24 | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trend ROI | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intervention
Trend ROI


Intervention Trend ROL

, content rate of improvement (Trend ROI) is 0.30 points per week on Quantity Total Fluency. To reach the goal score of 17 by $05 / 26 / 2019$ will need to improve at an average rate of 0.24 points per week.

6a./7f.

6b. Right to Request Notification: There are multiple ways parents are made aware of their right to request a special education evaluation at any time. First, the annual public notice is distributed to all families through a newsletter. Secondly, the Bald Eagle Area School District webpage has forms and documents accessible to parents.

# ANNUAL PUBLIC NOTICE OF SPECIAL EDUCATION SERVICES AND PROGRAMS, SERVICES FOR GIFTED STUDENTS, AND SERVICES FOR PROTECTED HANDICAPPED STUDENTS 

## Notice to Parents

According to state and federal special education regulations, annual public notice to parents of children who reside within a school district is required regarding child find responsibilities. School districts and intermediate units are required to conduct child find activities for children who may be eligible for services via Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. For additional information related to Section 504/Chapter 15 services, the parent may refer to Section 504, Chapter 15, and the Basic Education Circular entitled Implementation of Chapter 15. Also, school districts are required to conduct child find activities for children who may be eligible for gifted services via 22 PA Code Chapter 16. For additional information regarding gifted services, the parent may refer to 22 PA Code Chapter 16. If a student is both gifted and eligible for Special Education, the procedures in IDEA and Chapter 14 shall take precedence.

This notice shall inform parents throughout the school district and intermediate unit of the child identification activities and of the procedures followed to ensure confidentiality of information pertaining to students with disabilities or eligible young children. In addition to this public notice, each school district and intermediate unit shall publish written information in the handbook and on the website. Children ages three through twenty-one can be eligible for special education programs and services. If parents believe that the child may be eligible for special education, the parent should contact their district of residence. Contact information is listed at the end of this public notice.

Children age three through the age of admission to first grade are also eligible if they have developmental delays and, as a result, need Special Education and related services. Developmental delay is defined as a child who is less than the age of beginners and at least three years of age and is considered to have a developmental delay when one of the following exists: (i) The child's score, on a developmental assessment device, on an assessment instrument which yields a score in months, indicates that the child is delayed by $25 \%$ of the child's chronological age in one or more developmental areas, or (ii) The child is delayed in one or more of the developmental areas, as documented by test performance of 1.5 standard deviations below the mean on standardized tests. Developmental areas include cognitive, communicative, physical, social/emotional and self-help. For additional information contact the intermediate unit. Contact information is listed at the end of this public notice.

## Evaluation Process

Each school district and intermediate unit has a procedure in place by which parents can request an evaluation. For information about procedures applicable to your child, contact the school which your child attends. Parents of preschool age children, age three through five, may request an evaluation in writing by addressing a letter to the Early Intervention Program Director, Central Intermediate Unit \#10, 345 Link Road, West Decatur, PA 16878.

## Consent

School entities cannot proceed with an evaluation or with the initial provision of special education and related services without the written consent of the parents. For additional information related to consent, please refer to the Procedural Safeguards Notice which can be found at the PaTTAN website, www.pattan.net. Once written parental consent is obtained, the district will proceed with the evaluation process. If the parent disagrees with the evaluation, the parent can request an independent educational evaluation at public expense.

## Program Development

Once the evaluation process is completed, a team of qualified professionals and the parents determine whether the child is eligible. If the child is eligible, the individualized education program (IEP) team meets, develops the program, and determines the educational placement. Once the IEP team develops the program and determines the educational placement, school district staff or intermediate unit staff will issue a notice of recommended educational placement/prior written notice. Your written consent is required before initial services can be provided. The parent has the right to revoke consent after initial placement.

## Confidentiality of Information

The school districts and to some extent the intermediate unit maintain records concerning children enrolled in the school, including students with disabilities. All records are maintained in the strictest confidentiality. Your consent, or consent of an eligible child who has reached the age of majority under State law, must be obtained before personally identifiable information is released, except as permitted under the Family Education Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). The age of majority in Pennsylvania is 21. Each participating agency must protect the confidentiality of personally identifiable information at collection, storage, disclosure, and destruction states. One official at each participating agency must assume responsibility for ensuring the confidentiality of any personally identifiable information. Each participating agency must maintain, for public inspection, a current listing of the names and positions of those employees within the agency who have access to personally identifiable information.

For additional information related to student records, the parent can refer to the Family Education Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA).
This notice is only a summary of the Special Education services, evaluation and screening activities, and rights and protections pertaining to children with disabilities, children thought to be disabled, and their parents. For more information or to request evaluation or screening of a public or private school child, contact the responsible entity listed below. For preschool age children, information, screenings and evaluations requested, may be obtained by contacting the intermediate unit.

## INTERMEDIATE UNIT OFFICE

```
Central Intermediate Unit # 10
345 Link Road
West Decatur, PA 16878
814-342-0884 or 800-982-3375 (PA Only)
```


## SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES



| Mr. Harold Ohnmeis, Contact Person <br> 814-234-5886 | State College, PA 16801 <br> Levent Kaye, Contact Person <br> $814-237-9727$ | Ms. Judith Petruzzi, Contact Person <br> $570-748-4660$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Clearfield County Jail | Centre County Correctional Facility <br> 115 Twenty First Street <br> Clearfield, PA 16830 <br> Mr. Tom Mohney, Contact Person <br> $814-765-5511$ | Bellefonte, PA 16823 <br> Gina MacFalls, Sp. Ed. Supervisor <br> $814-353-5307$ |

The school district or intermediate unit will not discriminate in employment, educational programs, or activities based on race, color, national origin, age, sex, handicap, creed, marital status or because a person is a disabled veteran or a veteran of the Vietnam era. No preschool, elementary or secondary school pupil enrolled in a school district or intermediate unit shall be denied equal opportunity to participate in age and program appropriate instruction or activities due to race, color, handicap, creed, national origin, marital status or financial hardship.

6c. Parent RtII Training Event: Math Intervention Teachers include information regarding the RtIl Process during their parent meetings. Attached is a resource guide brochure given out at parent meetings. All teachers will be sharing information regarding the Rtll process during Back to School Night in September of each school year.

# Wingate Elementary Title I Math Night When: Thursday, September 26 Time: 6:00-7:00 

- Goal: To provide parents information in grades K-12 on math games, websites and a general overview of the math program
- Families will engage in math games and learn how to access online resources to support their child's at home
- Snacks \& Refreshments
- RSVP by September 20th if you plan on coming and learning a little more about Everyday Math

Cut and return the bottom portion if you plan on attending the Title I Math meeting to your child's teacher no later than September 20, 2019.
__ Yes, I plan on attending the Title I Schoolwide Math night
__ Total number attending

Student Name (s): $\qquad$ Grade (s): $\qquad$

Parent Name: $\qquad$


We Teach for Success

## Wingate Elementary

$75 i$ S. Encte Valley Road | Wingate. PA 16823 | 814.355 .4872

## Title I Math Night Sign

## Omi Ruree,

$\frac{\text { Lesk Mchosky }}{\text { Loren parker }}$
Joanne Nagle (Xaciarise)
$\frac{\text { Lesk Mciosky }}{\text { Leren Parker }}$
Joanne Nagle (Xaciarise)
$\frac{\text { Lesk Mchosky }}{\text { Leren Parker }}$
Joanne Nagle (Xaciarise)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Potricia Letterman

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

7a - Special Education Eligibility Determination Utilizing RtII Special Education eligibility will be determined utilizing the dual discrepancy model. It is important to note here that the assumption is that the child has participated in the most intensive level of intervention, and that the fidelity of such intervention has been maintained. Additionally, the following exclusionary factors must be considered: lack of instruction in reading/math, limited English proficiency, visual, hearing, or motor disability, intellectual disability, emotional disturbance, environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage. Should one of the exclusionary items be present, a specific learning disability would not be able to be diagnosed.

For a student to be found eligible for special education services as a student with a specific learning disability, the student must meet two criteria:

- Low academic performance, and
- Poor response to appropriate instruction.

To meet the criteria of low academic performance the student must demonstrate a significantly below performance on curriculum based measures (CBM) as compared to same-grade peers utilizing nationally developed norms. Performance significantly below shall be defined as a two-grade level discrepancy, which is indicated by a performance at or below the $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile.

Secondly, poor response to instruction shall be defined as a student performing poorly in response to instruction that is carefully planned to meet the needs of the student and delivered precisely to maintain the fidelity of the program. The student should receive interventions in Tier 2 and/or Tier 3 using evidence-based intervention programs. The slope of improvement for students involved in intervention programs shall be analyzed. The following decision guideline will be used to determine if a student should be referred for a special education evaluation: When the student's actual rate of improvement (ROI) is significantly below the expected ROI (i.e., when there is a discrepancy less than or equal to $125 \%$ ), AND the student's level of performance is at the 10 th percentile or lower, the team should consider a referral for a special education evaluation. For students where this is not applicable (e.g., above $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile performance and/ or rate of improvement is above $125 \%$ ), the team will consider continuing the Tier 3 intervention with added interventions, if needed, or consider exiting the student from Tier 3 and back into Tier 2, if needed.

Tier 2 and tier 3 decision guidelines are given in the Reference Guide to Decision Guidelines table in Domain 4.b.

7b. Identify the measures and methods that are used to rule out other disabilities (e.g., intellectual disabilities, emotional disturbance) and other factors (e.g., limited English proficiency) on student learning and growth and other conditions.

To rule out other disabilities, several screening measures will be used. To rule out vision, hearing, and/or motor problems that could impact a student's learning, a review of the student's health background and current status is completed. Hearing and vision screenings are routinely performed within our school district, and the input of the nurse will be sought to obtain the screening results at the outset of the evaluation. Further, a similar question regarding vision, hearing, and other medical concerns and conditions will be posed to the parents when they are asked to provide their input to the evaluation. Motor difficulties can impact a student as well. To rule out motor difficulties, input from parents and teachers will be important. Should concerns exist, the occupational therapist will be consulted to initiate a screening, and if needed, a full evaluation to determine the impact of the motor difficulties on the academic performance. If needed, a physical therapist will also be consulted and a screen or evaluation initiated.

Other areas will need to be considered as well. The student's social and emotional functioning will be screened during every evaluation using a broad-band measure (e.g., The Behavior Assessment System for Children, Third Edition [BASC-3]) if no concerns exist at the onset of the evaluation. Should concerns be evident or surface during the evaluation time, an in-depth or narrow-band measure will be given (e.g., Conners Comprehensive Behavior Rating Scales [Conners CBRS]; the Children's Depression Inventory [CDI]; the Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children, Second Edition [MASC-2]; Scales for Assessing Emotional Disturbance Second Edition [SAED-2]) to rule out emotional disturbance as a cause for the academic performance. A Functional Behavioral Assessment (FBA) may be initiated to assist in ruling out emotional disturbance as a cause. Further, both parents and teachers will be asked to provide information regarding the student's social and emotional functioning. For example, should attention difficulties or impulsivity/ hyperactivity exist, the team will evaluate the impact of these symptoms and behaviors to determine the extent if the impact on academic achievement. Further, attendance, office discipline referrals, and nurse's visits may provide additional information in regard to the severity of the impact on the student. For example, a high absenteeism or frequent visits to the nurse may suggest that the student is missing a lot of the instruction and content needed to perform well in school. In addition, parents will be asked to provide information regarding the student's mental health and medical history. As such, parents can provide information regarding any mental health diagnoses that the student may have and that are educationally relevant, and a review of evaluations conducted outside of the school district (e.g., by a psychiatrist, clinical or child psychologist, or pediatrician) will be considered, if applicable.

The student's cognitive ability will be measured through a full scale cognitive ability measure (e.g., Wechsler Intelligence Test for Children, Fifth Edition [WISC-V]; Woodcock Johnson Test of Cognitive Abilities, Fourth Edition [WJ-IV]). Should the student's cognitive ability score fall in the low to very low range and the test session observations indicate that the
student's score is an accurate assessment of the student's ability, an adaptive functioning questionnaire (e.g. Adaptive Behavior Assessment System, Third Edition [ABAS-3]) will be given to parents and teachers to assess the student's adaptive functioning within the home and school settings. Significant below-age level adaptive functioning in conjunction with a low to very low ability score is suggestive of an intellectual disability. If observations suggest other concerns are the cause for the cognitive ability performance, such as severe difficulties with attention and impulsivity, further assessments will be given to rule out other disabilities or disorders as causes for the low performance on the ability measure (e.g., Conners-3 to follow up on symptoms related to ADHD). Further, another cognitive ability measure, such as a brief ability measure (e.g., Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test, Second Edition [KBIT-2]), may be given to obtain another estimate of the student's ability, if needed.

To rule out limited language proficiency, parents will be asked to provide information regarding the language(s) spoken at home. This information is typically included upon enrollment in the schools and will also be part of the questions given to parents when they provide input to the evaluation. Should the student speak a language other than English at home, scores from assessments, such as the ACCESS WIDA, and the input of the English Language teacher will be obtained. The parents and general education teachers will also be asked to provide information regarding the student's level of acculturation, and the teachers will be asked to provide information regarding the student's academic performance in light of English language proficiency. Questionnaires such as the Acculturation Quick Screen (AQS) or the Classroom Language Interaction Checklist (CLIC) will be given to the teachers to provide additional information regarding the student's performance in the classroom and the language acquisition. Further, the student's BISC and CALP levels will be taken into consideration when evaluating the student. Together, all of the information will be used to determine if the student's performance is due to limited English proficiency or due to an underlying disability. If possible, testing in the student's native language through the use of an interpreter or a school psychologist speaking the language will also be considered to assess if the student is showing similar academic concerns in the native language, and a nonverbal cognitive ability measure (e.g., Wechsler Nonverbal) will also be considered to assess the student's ability and get an estimate of the student's cognitive ability. A review of records from prior school districts and outside evaluations will also be conducted, if applicable. These methods will assist in determining whether a student is demonstrating limited English proficiency, and whether language is a factor impacting the student's academic performance.

In determining whether there has been a lack of appropriate instruction, several measures and methods are conducted as part of an evaluation. School psychologists, special education teachers, or regular education teachers typically conduct one to three observations of the referred student within the classroom setting. More specific details regarding observation methods can be found in section 7c. Additional information including a child's record review (including previous district information, if applicable) and performance on standardized assessments, teacher input, and school district information (e.g., curriculum utilized for each subject, qualification of individual(s) providing the instruction) is gathered to evaluate the appropriateness of instruction or lack thereof.

Student's academic achievement can be impacted by the economic status and culture. More specifically, frequent moving, including moving in and out of the school district, homelessness, and the home situation and environment can have an impact on the student's academic achievement. Should concerns regarding the impact of the economic and cultural factors be a concern, detailed information from the parents, and teachers, if applicable, will be collected to determine the extent of the factors impacting the student. In addition, review of prior educational files can provide information regarding the student's academic performance prior to a change in factors. Community support for the family will be considered to alleviate some of the hardships. If, after implementation of community support and interventions, the student does not make sufficient academic gains, economic hardship can be ruled out as a factor impacting the student's academic performance.

7c. Describe how students suspected of having SLD are observed as part of the multidisciplinary evaluation process.

An observation of the student in the area that the student experiences difficulties in will be conducted. Observations are typically conducted by school psychologists, special education teachers, or regular education teachers. Approximately one to three observations are conducted as part of the evaluation process, depending on the individualized needs or concerns of the referred student. The student will be observed for 30 to 45 minutes, and a narrative observation as well as a time-on-task observation will be conducted. Time-on-task observation will be obtained using a momentary time sampling procedure, and a comparison peer of the same gender will be chosen for the time-on-task observation. If the student is off-task for a significant amount of time or needs to be frequently redirected to focus on the task, the student may miss a significant amount of instructional time that can have an impact on his or her learning. Further, if concerns regarding attention or other behavioral concerns exist, at least three observations on different days and at different times throughout the day with time-on-task observations will be conducted. If needed, an FBA may provide additional information if the student is evaluated for academic concerns that might be due to ED or another health impairment, and an FBA will be conducted if ED is considered as an area of eligibility to be ruled out. In addition, teacher observations will also be obtained in form of teacher input.

7d. Benchmarks used: The National Norms accessed through aimswebPlus are used to indicate a deficiency in relation to age or grade-level standards. The team may consider an academic screening using the Wechsler Individual Achievement Test, Third Edition (WIAT-III) to further inform program planning. Specifically, scores at or below the $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile on the Numerical Operations and Math Problem Solving subtests of the WIAT-III will guide the process.

7e. Ruling Out Lack of Instruction: 1) Fidelity Checklists are completed on an ongoing basis and presented for review during RTII data team meetings. The samples of Fidelity Checklists are included with Domain 5a. 2) Peer Observations are used to provide feedback regarding the implementation of Research-Based Interventions. 3) Observations are conducted by the Principal during Core Instruction and Tier Instruction. 4) Ongoing training is scheduled to refine skills and accuracy of the implementation of Research-Based Interventions 5) Examinations of school-wide screenings are completed to determine any Grade-Level Core Instructional Deficits. 6) Peers' Obtained Rate of Improvement is compared with students receiving similar tier support. 7) Ongoing examinations of research pertaining to the most effective instructional practices and research-based intervention programs are conducted.

7f. Procedures Used to Inform Parents of Student Assessment Data: Parents are a critical component to the Rtll process, and as such are involved from the onset. During conferences parents are given information via the classroom teacher with regards to the progress their child is making. This is also done through various home-school communications including phone calls, parent-teacher conferences, and e-mails. When a child enters Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions, parents are invited in to attend meetings updating them on the progress of their child and informing them of the specific intervention programs that are being utilized. Data will be reviewed every six to eight weeks by the team, including parents, and a decision regarding the student's programming will be made based on the progress. The reports shown in Domain 6a. give an example of how the data is shared through this process with parents.


[^0]:    *Students with 3 or more areas of deficiency will be selected to meet with the math interventionist to begin the appropriate intervention.
    *Small groups for Tier 2 intervention should not be larger than 5 students.
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